

Webinar

ULI Europe and PwC Emerging Trends in Real Estate 2023 Webinar 20 years of Emerging Trends

Date: November 30, 2022

| 00:00:04> 00:00:10: | So good afternoon, everybody. My name's Angus Johnson. Welcome to |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:00:10> 00:00:15: | welcome to the ULI Europe and PwC emerging trends in |
| 00:00:15> 00:00:17: | real estate 22023 webinar. |
| 00:00:19> 00:00:22: | Today we're going to be looking at 20 years of |
| 00:00:22> 00:00:25: | emerging trends and that's going to be a journey both |
| 00:00:25> 00:00:29: | looking at what's happened in the past but actually focusing |
| 00:00:29> 00:00:31: | more in the future. And to help us with that |
| 00:00:31> 00:00:34: | we're going to hear from Sophie Chick from the UI |
| 00:00:34> 00:00:37: | who's going to run us through that part of the |
| 00:00:37> 00:00:40: | survey that that that's dealt with this 20 year |
| 00:00:40> 00:00:43: | agenda. And then following that I'm going to host a |
| 00:00:43> 00:00:47: | panel with with Juliette Morgan, SG consultancy director from Gensler |
| 00:00:48> 00:00:50: | NASA Hussain founder and partner at Brookland. |
| 00:00:51> 00:00:54: | And Duncan Owen, CEO of Immobel Capital Partners. |
| 00:00:55> 00:00:57: | So with that in mind, I'll hand over to Sophie. |
| 00:00:57> 00:00:58: | Sophie, over to you. |
| 00:01:02> 00:01:05: | Thank you Angus and hello to everyone. Thank you so |
| 00:01:06> 00:01:09: | much for joining us today. So as you've just heard |
| 00:01:09> 00:01:13: | you know we're we're having a look and and celebrating |
| 00:01:13> 00:01:16: | 20 years of of emerging trends in Europe and I |
| 00:01:16> 00:01:19: | should just say that to put this to put this |
| 00:01:19> 00:01:23: | section of the report together with which sits within our |
| 00:01:23> 00:01:27: | main report for for emerging trends and this year we |
| 00:01:27> 00:01:30: | were really lucky to have input from a nearly 1000 |
| 00:01:30> 00:01:32: | people across the industry. |
| 00:01:32> 00:01:36: | In the form of having a survey done, various interviews, |
| 00:01:36> 00:01:39: | roundtables and we asked experts both within the industry and |

00:01:39 --> 00:01:42: outside the industry and we we also made sure that

| 00:01:43> 00:01:46: | we included the views of the different generations. So we've |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:01:46> 00:01:50: | got views particularly on the future coming from everyone |
| 00 04 50 > 00 04 50 | from |
| 00:01:50> 00:01:53: | students, young leaders all the way up to people with |
| 00:01:53> 00:01:56: | their with more experience. So I just want to start |
| 00:01:57> 00:01:59: | by having a quick look back because I think it |
| 00:01:59> 00:02:02: | is really interesting when you take a step back. |
| 00:02:02> 00:02:06: | And just have a look at how much things have |
| 00:02:06> 00:02:09: | changed in quite a relatively short space of time and |
| 00:02:09> 00:02:13: | picking out some of the the quotes that we saw |
| 00:02:13> 00:02:16: | in some of the older editions of emerging trends have |
| 00:02:16> 00:02:20: | really illustrated that. So we had back in 2006 we |
| 00:02:20> 00:02:23: | had to the one of the findings from a survey |
| 00:02:23> 00:02:28: | question was actually a though mixed-use developments were sort of |
| 00:02:28> 00:02:32: | gaining in popularity still we still had the majority of |
| 00:02:32> 00:02:33: | people being wary. |
| 00:02:33> 00:02:37: | Of doing mixed-use development. And then a year later in |
| 00:02:37> 00:02:40: | 2007, one of the findings was that when asked about |
| 00:02:40> 00:02:45: | a new European sustainability legislation, the majority of the respondents |
| 00:02:45> 00:02:49: | and the industry didn't know anything about it, didn't really |
| 00:02:49> 00:02:53: | care and felt that green buildings were just a marketing |
| 00:02:53> 00:02:56: | ploy. So it's very encouraging to see that we have |
| 00:02:56> 00:02:59: | a we have moved on quite a lot since then, |
| 00:02:59> 00:03:02: | but I would also say that actually in some aspects |
| 00:03:02> 00:03:03: | there hasn't been. |
| 00:03:03> 00:03:06: | Has much changed. So right the way back in our |
| 00:03:06> 00:03:10: | first report that we did that was an outlook for |
| 00:03:10> 00:03:14: | 2004, someone said that we're still building things in the |
| 00:03:14> 00:03:16: | way that we did 30 years ago and to some |
| 00:03:16> 00:03:18: | extent that's still true today. |
| 00:03:20> 00:03:23: | One of the things that we asked in our survey |
| 00:03:23> 00:03:26: | was having a look back again historically at what the |
| 00:03:26> 00:03:30: | biggest impacts have been on the real estate industry over |
| 00:03:30> 00:03:33: | the past 20 years. And you can see the results |
| 00:03:33> 00:03:36: | here. The biggest impact was deemed to be the low |
| 00:03:36> 00:03:40: | interest rate environment we've had and quantitative easing. The second |
| 00:03:40> 00:03:43: | was the impact of the global financial crisis. And and |
| 00:03:44> 00:03:47: | looking at our report from 2009, someone likened this to |
| 00:03:47> 00:03:50: | the fall of Rome, although they said that this time. |
| 00:03:50> 00:03:55: | The Barbarians were already through the gate, so the |
| | |

importance 00:03:55 --> 00:03:58: of the GFC really can't be understated. We then have 00:03:58 --> 00:04:02: use of technology, urbanization, and the rise of ESG coming 00:04:02 --> 00:04:03: in 5th place. 00:04:05 --> 00:04:08: So to try and break this report down, and we 00:04:08 --> 00:04:10: did it so that we could. 00:04:11 --> 00:04:15: Break it into six different categories with capital markets on 00:04:15 --> 00:04:19: the path to net zero, taking on greater responsibility, customer 00:04:19 --> 00:04:23: service, real estate as a product and cities and placemaking 00:04:23 --> 00:04:26: and what I'm going to do. This obviously covers a 00:04:26 --> 00:04:29: huge range of material. I'm just going to give you 00:04:29 --> 00:04:33: a quick highlights from each of these topics. So starting 00:04:33 --> 00:04:36: with capital markets and it really is amazing to see 00:04:36 --> 00:04:40: the evolution of the industry that's happened over this. Over 00:04:40 --> 00:04:41: that that two decade long. 00:04:41 --> 00:04:45: It's gone from a relatively opaque industry to one that 00:04:45 --> 00:04:49: is really integral to the financial markets and the availability 00:04:49 --> 00:04:52: of data, being able to benchmark and track performance has 00:04:52 --> 00:04:54: been really important there. 00:04:55 --> 00:05:00: Just to illustrate how much the industry has grown since 00:05:00 --> 00:05:04: then and we have since the GFC that European listed 00:05:04 --> 00:05:09: real estate has grown from 174 billion to 441 billion 00:05:09 --> 00:05:13: and in the non listed sector we've seen funds expand 00:05:13 --> 00:05:18: from 45 to 536 vehicles according to INREV. So huge 00:05:18 --> 00:05:19: growth there. 00:05:20 --> 00:05:23: We just talked about the GFC having a a big 00:05:23 --> 00:05:26: impact on the market and of course one of its 00:05:26 --> 00:05:30: legacies has been this change in lending philosophy. So we 00:05:30 --> 00:05:34: saw banks draw back, become much more conservative and that 00:05:34 --> 00:05:38: hasn't changed and in in its way Cora alongside that 00:05:38 --> 00:05:40: we also see when saw a new breed of lender 00:05:40 --> 00:05:45: entering the market and they're likely to continue to influence 00:05:45 --> 00:05:46: the market going forward. 00:05:47 --> 00:05:52: We're also seeing where global capital is deployed is increasingly 00:05:52 --> 00:05:56: being influenced and will continue to be influenced by disruptive 00:05:56 --> 00:06:00: forces such as geopolitical events that in many cases are 00:06:00 --> 00:06:02: quite hard to predict. 00:06:04 --> 00:06:07: Now when we look back over the past 20 years, 00:06:07 --> 00:06:10: we saw that ESG came in fifth place in terms

| 00:06:11> 00:06:14: | of the most influential factor for real estate. If we |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:06:14> 00:06:18: | look forward over the next 20 years, it's a very |
| 00:06:18> 00:06:22: | different picture. You can see here the most influential factor |
| 00:06:22> 00:06:26: | is expected to be the SG agenda followed by climate |
| 00:06:26> 00:06:30: | change and decarbonization coming in 5th place. So it really |
| 00:06:30> 00:06:33: | is widely acknowledged that the. |
| 00:06:33> 00:06:37: | The industry accepts and and knows that this change needs |
| 00:06:37> 00:06:41: | to happen. We know our responsibility, but it should not |
| 00:06:41> 00:06:44: | be understated. The challenge that faces us as we look |
| 00:06:44> 00:06:47: | ahead and some of the key themes that came out |
| 00:06:47> 00:06:51: | of these are the reports and insights from the industry |
| 00:06:51> 00:06:55: | are the refurbishment of existing buildings and embodied carbon are |
| 00:06:55> 00:06:58: | two of the greatest challenges that we need to meet |
| 00:06:58> 00:07:01: | and they will have an impact on value. |
| 00:07:02> 00:07:05: | There's a vast capital expenditure that is needed. |
| 00:07:06> 00:07:09: | Regulation is actually going to be really important, and what |
| 00:07:09> 00:07:12: | I found interesting is that this seems to be really |
| 00:07:12> 00:07:16: | welcomed by the industry, particularly when we spoke to the |
| 00:07:16> 00:07:17: | younger generations. |
| 00:07:18> 00:07:21: | Post technology has a part to play, and we're already |
| 00:07:21> 00:07:24: | seeing some of that. In the case of smart buildings |
| 00:07:24> 00:07:28: | or green building, material and other technology will emerge. |
| 00:07:29> 00:07:32: | And finally, as the world warms and continues to warm |
| 00:07:32> 00:07:36: | and climate resilience and adaptation are going to be critical |
| 00:07:36> 00:07:40: | to combat those physical risks, and we're likely to see |
| 00:07:40> 00:07:43: | more emphasis placed on biodiversity. |
| 00:07:46> 00:07:49: | Another key theme that was really interesting that came out |
| 00:07:49> 00:07:52: | when we were looking ahead and what was going to |
| 00:07:52> 00:07:56: | make the most successful real estate going forward is this |
| 00:07:56> 00:08:00: | idea of the industry taking on greater responsibility and responsible |
| 00:08:00> 00:08:03: | capitalism was there was a key theme and I think |
| 00:08:03> 00:08:06: | this chart here illustrates it. We asked what's going to |
| 00:08:06> 00:08:11: | be important for this successful organizational transformation of the real |
| 00:08:11> 00:08:14: | estate industry over the next 20 years and the top |
| 00:08:14> 00:08:16: | 2 answers are running an environmentally. |
| 00:08:16> 00:08:23: | Socially responsible business and creating social impact alongside financial returns. |
| 00:08:23> 00:08:28: | And this isn't just about decarbonization. This is thinking about |
| 00:08:28> 00:08:33: | issues such as loneliness and aging, population inequality |

and society. 00:08:33 --> 00:08:37: Things that real estate can and actually should. 00:08:37 --> 00:08:40: Be having an impact and and working out how we 00:08:40 --> 00:08:42: can tackle them. 00:08:44 --> 00:08:47: Our 4th topic is customer service. And actually it's been 00:08:47 --> 00:08:51: really interesting to see the evolution in terms of the 00:08:51 --> 00:08:54: focus from the industry from landlords going to tenants and 00:08:54 --> 00:08:59: occupiers. And they're more recently focusing on customers. And we've 00:08:59 --> 00:09:02: seen companies and and we look forward and we think 00:09:02 --> 00:09:05: the most successful companies are going to be those that 00:09:05 --> 00:09:09: look beyond just the physical real estate and now look 00:09:09 --> 00:09:12: at the spaces as service and designing it around the 00:09:12 --> 00:09:14: needs of the occupiers that they want to. 00:09:14 --> 00:09:18: Attract and this may well mean that you see broadened 00:09:18 --> 00:09:23: business models and that are able to provide design, development, 00:09:23 --> 00:09:26: management and operational services. 00:09:28 --> 00:09:32: Reputation and brand will be key and diversity in real 00:09:32 --> 00:09:36: estate and hiring outside traditional skill sets are going to 00:09:36 --> 00:09:40: be really important in terms of being able to meet 00:09:40 --> 00:09:44: that customer service that is needed for the future. 00:09:46 --> 00:09:49: When we look at real estate as a product, it 00:09:49 --> 00:09:53: is really noticeable that we've seen an absolute explosion in 00:09:53 --> 00:09:55: the number of products that that we look at a 00:09:55 --> 00:09:59: number of different sectors. And I think a really nice 00:09:59 --> 00:10:01: way to illustrate this is when we have a look 00:10:01 --> 00:10:06: at our emerging trends rankings for development and investment prospects 00:10:06 --> 00:10:09: and have a look at the different sectors, we can 00:10:09 --> 00:10:12: see how that's changed. So back in 2004, the prospects 00:10:12 --> 00:10:16: for 2004, we looked at 8 different sectors. Interesting to 00:10:16 --> 00:10:17: note retail on top. 00:10:18 --> 00:10:22: By 2008 that had expanded to 10, but by 2016 00:10:22 --> 00:10:27: that had almost doubled and we were at 19 different 00:10:27 --> 00:10:32: sectors. Noticeable that residential has gone from just one. 00:10:33 --> 00:10:36: And before the GFC and we're now looking at a 00:10:36 --> 00:10:40: whole number of different residential sectors and the most recent 00:10:40 --> 00:10:44: outlook that we did that we published in this report 00:10:44 --> 00:10:48: is for 2023. And the top investment and development sectors 00:10:48 --> 00:10:51: are there's 27 in total and the top being those 00:10:52 --> 00:10:57: alternative perhaps more niche sectors like new energy

infrastructure and 00:10:57 --> 00:11:00: also a huge number of of residential sectors in that 00:11:00 --> 00:11:03: top half of the table as well. 00:11:03 --> 00:11:06: And I think if we look forward, what's going to 00:11:06 --> 00:11:09: be really interesting is to see how the industry manages 00:11:09 --> 00:11:12: the blurring of lines between these different sectors. 00:11:15 --> 00:11:21: Finally for me we have cities and placemaking, so. 00:11:22 --> 00:11:25: This the key theme that came out here was making 00:11:25 --> 00:11:28: cities a better place to live and focusing on social 00:11:28 --> 00:11:32: inclusion. And we've already seen a number of concepts emerged 00:11:32 --> 00:11:36: that are going to try and help with this, things 00:11:36 --> 00:11:39: like the 15 minute cities or smart cities, and we 00:11:39 --> 00:11:42: expect to see more coming through in this space. 00:11:44 --> 00:11:48: WhatsApp perhaps more radical is is when we were looking 00:11:48 --> 00:11:51: forward 20 years we had a number of people talk 00:11:51 --> 00:11:56: about the idea of self-sufficient neighbourhoods in urban locations that 00:11:56 --> 00:11:59: are producing their own food, their own energy. If this 00:11:59 --> 00:12:03: sounds a little bit like we're going back in the 00:12:03 --> 00:12:07: past it's it's not. We're still talking about things that 00:12:07 --> 00:12:12: are designed and management by technology, sophisticated adaptive AI sort 00:12:12 --> 00:12:14: of managing and and designing. 00:12:14 --> 00:12:17: These locations. So I think on on that note, it's 00:12:17 --> 00:12:21: a nice place to stop to think about where we 00:12:21 --> 00:12:24: might be living and how we might be living in 00:12:24 --> 00:12:27: 20 years from now. And I just want to say 00:12:27 --> 00:12:31: thank you so much to everyone who contributed to this 00:12:31 --> 00:12:35: report. We really can't do it without you. And the 00:12:35 --> 00:12:39: full report is available on both UI and PWC's website 00:12:39 --> 00:12:43: if you're interested in having a further look at that, 00:12:43 --> 00:12:44: but to get more. 00:12:44 --> 00:12:47: Insight to some of the topics that I've just covered. 00:12:47 --> 00:12:49: I'm going to pass you back to Angus and the 00:12:49 --> 00:12:51: rest of the panel. Thank you. 00:12:53 --> 00:12:56: OK. Thank you, Sophie. If I can ask my fellow 00:12:56 --> 00:12:59: panelist to to join in as well just while they're 00:12:59 --> 00:13:00: doing that. 00:13:01 --> 00:13:04: But this is an interactive session, so you should have 00:13:04 --> 00:13:06: on your screen a chat option. 00:13:07 --> 00:13:11: Which which will give you the.

OK. Can everyone hear me? I'm sorry about that. Yes,

00:13:24 --> 00:13:28:

| 00:13:28> 00:13:31: | it just just while everyone's joining, so. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:13:32> 00:13:35: | We have a chat option here on the |
| 00:13:35> 00:13:39: | screen. So, so anyone who wants to to ask a |
| 00:13:39> 00:13:43: | question, just just type it into the chat and it'll |
| 00:13:43> 00:13:45: | come up, I'll be able to see it and I |
| 00:13:46> 00:13:50: | will introduce it into the mix, right. So. So let's, |
| 00:13:50> 00:13:51: | let's get started. |
| 00:13:52> 00:13:55: | I thought, I thought we might might start as is |
| 00:13:55> 00:13:58: | is just sort of taking a really big picture look |
| 00:13:58> 00:14:00: | at what we've seen in the last. |
| 00:14:00> 00:14:03: | 20 years and and sort of try to reflect |
| 00:14:03> 00:14:06: | on on sort of how that makes us think about |
| 00:14:06> 00:14:09: | the 20 years to come if you like. I mean |
| 00:14:09> 00:14:12: | it feels to me that that we've seen a number |
| 00:14:12> 00:14:16: | of of you know quite quite extraordinary things happen. |
| 00:14:18> 00:14:21: | And obviously we had the GFC we had the the |
| 00:14:21> 00:14:25: | the the quantitative easing and and sort of the cheap |
| 00:14:25> 00:14:28: | money that followed that that came up on the on |
| 00:14:28> 00:14:32: | the on the report we've we've also seen a a |
| 00:14:32> 00:14:36: | huge advance in technology you know I was checking earlier |
| 00:14:36> 00:14:40: | it it's actually the first smartphone and I think we |
| 00:14:40> 00:14:44: | probably would we accept that we measure the real rise |
| 00:14:44> 00:14:48: | of technology from the introduction of the smartphone. |
| 00:14:48> 00:14:51: | First smartphone was the iPhone. It was introduced in June |
| 00:14:52> 00:14:55: | 2007, which really doesn't feel that long ago, the way |
| 00:14:55> 00:14:57: | I look at it. But you think about the extraordinary |
| 00:14:57> 00:15:01: | change that that's that that's wrought since. But, you know, |
| 00:15:01> 00:15:04: | we've had the, the, the GFC, which was an extraordinary |
| 00:15:04> 00:15:07: | event. We've had a pandemic, which was an extraordinary event. |
| 00:15:07> 00:15:10: | We've now got a land war in Europe, which is |
| 00:15:10> 00:15:13: | another extraordinary event, all of which I think, you know, |
| 00:15:13> 00:15:16: | if you'd asked two or three years before those things |
| 00:15:16> 00:15:18: | happened, do you think that would happen? |
| 00:15:18> 00:15:20: | As people would have said, no. |
| 00:15:20> 00:15:21: | But they have happened. |
| 00:15:22> 00:15:25: | So I guess my first question to the to the |
| 00:15:25> 00:15:28: | to kick around with the panelists is. |
| 00:15:29> 00:15:32: | Whilst it's hard to predict what the next sort of |
| 00:15:32> 00:15:33: | crisis would be. |
| 00:15:34> 00:15:38: | Is there anything that we can learn from what's happened |
| 00:15:38> 00:15:41: | in the last 20 years that would help us do |

| 00.13.41> 00.13.42. | you think in terms or: |
|--|--|
| 00:15:43> 00:15:46: | Of resilience in in, in the face of crises. |
| 00:15:47> 00:15:48: | To come. |
| 00:15:49> 00:15:52: | Because I think what the last 20 years probably tells |
| 00:15:52> 00:15:54: | us is that there will be. |
| 00:15:54> 00:15:56: | I don't know what they are necessarily, but we can |
| 00:15:56> 00:15:58: | talk about that, but I think they're probably will be. |
| 00:16:00> 00:16:03: | Who wants to? Who wants to start? Duncan, why don't |
| 00:16:04> 00:16:07: | you have, why don't you have first shot at that? |
| 00:16:08> 00:16:13: | Gosh, yeah, it's a big topic and I think. |
| 00:16:14> 00:16:17: | First of all, what a good presentation that was. That |
| 00:16:17> 00:16:20: | was a really good whistle stop tour of 30,000 feet |
| 00:16:20> 00:16:23: | of what's happened in the last couple of decades. |
| 00:16:25> 00:16:28: | In order to answer the question, Angus, I'd probably. |
| 00:16:28> 00:16:32: | Just take one step back briefly because listening to the |
| 00:16:33> 00:16:36: | presentation, it feels like we're almost in a in a |
| 00:16:36> 00:16:41: | fourth phase of real estate and real estate investing over |
| 00:16:41> 00:16:44: | the cicada the last 20 years and I've maybe been |
| 00:16:44> 00:16:46: | unkind to my profession. |
| 00:16:46> 00:16:47: | But. |
| 00:16:47> 00:16:50: | 20 years or more ago, it seemed that. |
| 00:16:51> 00:16:54: | We just bought buildings, let them on long leases, waited |
| 00:16:54> 00:16:56: | for the lease to run to the end and then |
| 00:16:56> 00:16:57: | sold them as a lower price. |
| 00:16:58> 00:17:01: | And that moved into a slightly more proactive approach |
| 00.47.04 > 00.47.04. | where |
| 00:17:01> 00:17:04: | you would hear the terms where people would buy a |
| 00:17:04> 00:17:07: | building their fix it, whatever that meant. They might be. |
| 00:17:07> 00:17:10: 00:17:10> 00:17:12: | The might be the occupation, it might be the fabric |
| 00:17:10> 00:17:12: | of the building and then they'd sell it. |
| 00:17:15> 00:17:19: | That was often the mantra of a as you went sort of through the 2000s up to the financial crisis. |
| 00:17:19> 00:17:19: | Post the financial crisis, I think we moved into a |
| 00:17:19> 00:17:22: | theme based investment criteria and there were some mega |
| 00.17.22> 00.17.20. | themes |
| 00:17:26> 00:17:29: | people often used to talk about. I did when I |
| 00:17:29> 00:17:32: | was introduced, we used to talk a lot about the |
| 00:17:32> 00:17:36: | rapid urbanization, the fact that the world's population was |
| | going |
| 00:17:36> 00:17:39: | from 50 to 75% living in urban cities and at |
| 00:17:39> 00:17:41: | the same time it was going from 6 to 7 |
| 00:17:41> 00:17:43: | to 8 billion and on to 10. |
| 00:17:44> 00:17:47: | Obviously the population was was aging and so the |
| | |

00:15:41 --> 00:15:42: you think in terms of?

demographic 00:17:47 --> 00:17:50: changes were huge and what we're doing and investment there 00:17:50 --> 00:17:52: was a big consequence on what we needed in new 00:17:52 --> 00:17:55: infrastructure and power and how we're going to get power. 00:17:55 --> 00:17:56: We're seeing that now. 00:17:57 --> 00:18:00: The technological revolution was amazing in every way from from 00:18:00 --> 00:18:04: mobile phones, communications, the fact that were there. There's now 00:18:04 --> 00:18:07: more than 10 billion mobile phone devices. The fact that. 00:18:08 --> 00:18:11: There is now twice as much data existing as there 00:18:11 --> 00:18:14: was two years ago globally. From where does that all 00:18:14 --> 00:18:17: get stored? What happens? And there was this ship from 00:18:17 --> 00:18:19: the West to the east and I think what's taken 00:18:20 --> 00:18:22: over from those themes, which is sort of the answer 00:18:23 --> 00:18:25: about the future as well as what we've learned. 00:18:26 --> 00:18:28: Is people want more flexibility? 00:18:29 --> 00:18:31: They want the real estate to be more operation focused, 00:18:32 --> 00:18:32: customer focused. 00:18:33 --> 00:18:35: And they now need it to be green. And you 00:18:35 --> 00:18:37: need what we call 4S is you need buildings and 00:18:37 --> 00:18:41: strategically important that are smart buildings, sustainable and safe. 00:18:42 --> 00:18:44: And you and they're all all those points are intrinsically 00:18:44 --> 00:18:45: linked. 00:18:46 --> 00:18:49: So it's almost the fourth phase which is really focused 00:18:49 --> 00:18:53: on ESG and flexibility and everything that goes with that 00:18:53 --> 00:18:56: about amenities, social and the built in roles. 00:18:57 --> 00:18:59: So if you look back and answering your question, I 00:18:59 --> 00:19:02: think the key thing we've learned is we don't learn 00:19:02 --> 00:19:05: from Alice's and. And by that I mean the first, 00:19:05 --> 00:19:07: the first, the, the main point, the easiest point. 00:19:08 --> 00:19:13: Is the fall in capital values and the instability and 00:19:13 --> 00:19:16: the capital system that we have now. 00:19:17 --> 00:19:19: Is an echo and I would argue with still the 00:19:20 --> 00:19:24: consequence of the financial crisis. We're 14 years further on 00:19:24 --> 00:19:28: with quantity easing, the resultant inflation and the resultant capital 00:19:28 --> 00:19:31: crisis in that we are beginning to try and take 00:19:31 --> 00:19:35: ourselves off the drug of quantitative easing and we can't 00:19:35 --> 00:19:39: really afford to do that and that's having an impact 00:19:39 --> 00:19:42: on it all but the very most productive and most

| 00:19:42> 00:19:45: | efficient economies. And what that means is it has a |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:19:45> 00:19:46: | huge impact. |
| 00:19:47> 00:19:49: | And how it should be behaving as investors? |
| 00:19:49> 00:19:54: | And requiring the Council to work more smartly with |
| | decarbonization, |
| 00:19:54> 00:19:57: | having a pathway to net zero is essential. And we've |
| 00:19:57> 00:20:00: | got to learn from those lessons, getting back to my |
| 00:20:00> 00:20:03: | point. And we've got to have more flexibility and more |
| 00:20:03> 00:20:07: | of an operational approach. So I'm sort of answering two |
| 00:20:07> 00:20:09: | questions in one, forgive me, but. |
| 00:20:10> 00:20:12: | Part One is we've got to learn from the previous |
| 00:20:13> 00:20:16: | lessons and the evidence suggests we have them. And Part |
| 00:20:16> 00:20:18: | 2 is we're in this sort of fourth phase over |
| 00:20:18> 00:20:22: | 20 years, which is all about green, having sustainable |
| | strategies |
| 00:20:22> 00:20:26: | and being more flexible. So we actually deliver more occupies |
| 00:20:26> 00:20:29: | once and we've really got to learn those lessons. |
| 00:20:33> 00:20:34: | Still only using this. |
| 00:20:35> 00:20:38: | Mute, it keeps putting me on mute, right. It's quite |
| 00:20:38> 00:20:41: | disappointing. But the, the, the, I think, I think |
| 00:20:41> 00:20:44: | maybe the that what I take from that answer though |
| 00:20:44> 00:20:47: | which I think is, is fair is that you know |
| 00:20:47> 00:20:50: | we've spent the last 20 years somewhat reliant on yield |
| 00:20:50> 00:20:51: | compression. |
| 00:20:53> 00:20:57: | And and really the answer going forward is going to |
| 00:20:57> 00:21:00: | have to be to be more focused on value creation |
| 00:21:00> 00:21:04: | through asset management and that maybe that that in and |
| 00:21:04> 00:21:08: | of itself would make the industry more resilient against against |
| 00:21:08> 00:21:12: | shocks that might come through. I mean I guess a |
| 00:21:12> 00:21:15: | if I was going to guess that what might be |
| 00:21:15> 00:21:15: | a. |
| 00:21:17> 00:21:20: | A surprise crisis that might come around and hit us |
| 00:21:20> 00:21:23: | but but which we ought to be expecting anyway. You |
| 00:21:23> 00:21:26: | know, it it could well be a climate based thing, |
| 00:21:26> 00:21:28: | you know, a a so-called green swan. |
| 00:21:29> 00:21:34: | Events, you know, a Pakistan style floods through Europe that |
| 00:21:34> 00:21:38: | makes half the built environment uninsurable a you know a |
| 00:21:38> 00:21:42: | a series of heat waves if you like, which mean |
| 00:21:42> 00:21:47: | that that the UK basic building structure which you know, |
| 00:21:47> 00:21:51: | without wanting to sound too glib about it, I think |
| | |

| 00:21:51> 00:21:55: | it could be defined as keeping the rain out and |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:21:55> 00:21:56: | the heat in. |
| 00:21:57> 00:21:59: | You know, we would have to deal with a situation |
| 00:21:59> 00:22:02: | where where there's not enough water and you're you're trying |
| 00:22:02> 00:22:03: | to keep the heat out. |
| 00:22:04> 00:22:08: | I mean, Juliet, I know you've you've thought about some |
| 00:22:08> 00:22:11: | of these things. Are we, do you think we're underpricing |
| 00:22:11> 00:22:14: | the risk of that? Do you think we have any |
| 00:22:14> 00:22:17: | conception of of of what of what that sort |
| 00:22:17> 00:22:18: | of crisis might look like? |
| 00:22:20> 00:22:23: | I think this conversation shows that we think it's a |
| 00:22:23> 00:22:27: | future event and actually anybody looking at some data would |
| 00:22:27> 00:22:30: | realise that parts of London were underwater last year in |
| 00:22:30> 00:22:35: | the previous year. That there are very substantially valuable assets |
| 00:22:35> 00:22:38: | that have been impacted by flood in this country. A |
| 00:22:38> 00:22:42: | commercial assets and residential three years ago just bringing it |
| 00:22:42> 00:22:45: | to Europe, I think the on the registry of of |
| 00:22:45> 00:22:49: | most impacted countries by value was Germany through massive flooding. |
| 00:22:50> 00:22:52: | And then we saw you know a Green swan event, |
| 00:22:52> 00:22:55: | a fire in London because of 40 degree heat last |
| 00:22:55> 00:22:58: | year. So the fact that we're even talking about this |
| 00:22:58> 00:23:03: | as something that's happening in the future at some unquantifiable |
| 00:23:03> 00:23:06: | date suggests to me that we've, we've not embraced the |
| 00:23:06> 00:23:09: | fact that it's, it's not happening in the future, it's |
| 00:23:09> 00:23:13: | happening now. So has that fed through to pricing and |
| 00:23:13> 00:23:16: | I suspect it hasn't partly because valuation is driven off, |
| 00:23:16> 00:23:19: | annual valuation cycles are not CFD disclosures. |
| 00:23:20> 00:23:22: | And and if you look at climate mapping, then most |
| 00:23:22> 00:23:25: | of London would be underwater. So if you, if you |
| 00:23:25> 00:23:28: | look at the value of all of London's assets and |
| 00:23:28> 00:23:31: | that tells you a lot about whether we're priced in |
| 00:23:31> 00:23:33: | that risk or not, it may well have started to |
| 00:23:33> 00:23:36: | flow through to insurance premiums. But I I think you |
| 00:23:36> 00:23:39: | mentioned a really important word which is a word that |
| 00:23:39> 00:23:42: | hasn't come up very much in in the report. So |
| 00:23:42> 00:23:45: | we're talking a lot about ESG as an industry. We're |
| 00:23:45> 00:23:49: | not talking as much about adaptation and resilience. It's entirely |

| 00:23:49> 00:23:51: | likely that we breached 1 1/2 degrees. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:23:51> 00:23:54: | This summer. So if the tell 12 key indicators we |
| 00:23:54> 00:23:58: | breached 6 and and there isn't a moment there's not |
| 00:23:58> 00:24:02: | a an identifiable experience that that kicks in when when |
| 00:24:02> 00:24:05: | the feedback leaks happen that we can say that was |
| 00:24:05> 00:24:09: | the moment. So this isn't a kind of green trajectory |
| 00:24:09> 00:24:13: | towards net zero that neatly goes to 203040 or 50 |
| 00:24:13> 00:24:16: | that will conveniently have greens ones that kick in at |
| 00:24:17> 00:24:20: | a time that we're ready we need to be having |
| 00:24:20> 00:24:21: | an adaptation and. |
| 00:24:21> 00:24:24: | Brilliance, conversation at the same time as an ESG agenda |
| 00:24:24> 00:24:27: | in order to learn from the the sins of the |
| 00:24:27> 00:24:27: | past. |
| 00:24:28> 00:24:31: | So here's the thing, right? If you look back at |
| 00:24:31> 00:24:34: | those reports going back to to 22,000 and three in |
| 00:24:35> 00:24:39: | about 2006 and 2007, there were, you know, Cassandras out |
| 00:24:39> 00:24:43: | there basically. And there they were talked about in the |
| 00:24:43> 00:24:47: | report talking about this markets getting overheated. There's a real |
| 00:24:47> 00:24:51: | bubble happening here. This could be a problem. |
| 00:24:52> 00:24:54: | But we don't listen to it until it happens. |
| 00:24:57> 00:25:01: | And then it's and then it's but then it's obviously |
| 00:25:01> 00:25:02: | too late. So I. |
| 00:25:02> 00:25:05: | It it does strike me as something that that, that, |
| 00:25:05> 00:25:08: | you know, if we're going to learn anything from what |
| 00:25:08> 00:25:09: | happened in the last 20 years. |
| 00:25:10> 00:25:14: | That we need to take more notice of those signposts. |
| 00:25:14> 00:25:16: | I think we know they're there, but the issue would |
| 00:25:16> 00:25:17: | appear to be urgency. |
| 00:25:20> 00:25:23: | But that and that, and that's the same, you know, |
| 00:25:23> 00:25:25: | I, I know it's a, it's a different crisis, it's |
| 00:25:25> 00:25:28: | a different scale of crisis. It's a potentially vastly bigger |
| 00:25:28> 00:25:29: | one. |
| 00:25:30> 00:25:33: | But but what you're saying is is is flagging the |
| 00:25:33> 00:25:36: | same things that if we look back as historians at |
| 00:25:36> 00:25:39: | what happened before the GFC you know you can see |
| 00:25:40> 00:25:42: | the same points. I mean NASA from a, you know |
| 00:25:42> 00:25:45: | you've got a you've got some views on on from |
| 00:25:45> 00:25:48: | a from a lending perspective do you think. |
| 00:25:49> 00:25:52: | Do you think lenders do you think capital markets are |
| 00:25:52> 00:25:54: | starting to price any of this risk in is it, |
| 00:25:54> 00:25:57: | is it is it even featuring as a consideration? |
| | - |

| 00:26:00> 00:26:03: | I mean, it's definitely a risk that lenders in the |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:26:03> 00:26:05: | capital markets are cognisant off. |
| 00:26:06> 00:26:09: | I think rather than pricing in that risk. |
| 00:26:10> 00:26:14: | It's more a question of trying to incentivize more sustainability |
| 00:26:14> 00:26:15: | than debt. |
| 00:26:17> 00:26:21: | So you do see a lot of lenders now establish |
| 00:26:21> 00:26:27: | sustainability debt programs where they try to give preferred access |
| 00:26:27> 00:26:32: | to to debt capital for full sustainable loans and they |
| 00:26:32> 00:26:37: | try to offer reduced economics for sustainable debt. |
| 00:26:39> 00:26:42: | Hard to interrupt, but I guess in a sense I |
| 00:26:42> 00:26:45: | think what the point Juliet's making is that. |
| 00:26:47> 00:26:50: | Making sure that going forward buildings are more sustainable in |
| 00:26:50> 00:26:53: | terms of their of their carbon footprint for example, is |
| 00:26:53> 00:26:55: | important because if we're going to get on top of |
| 00:26:55> 00:26:57: | this problem, we're going to have to do that, but |
| 00:26:57> 00:26:58: | actually. |
| 00:26:59> 00:27:01: | The risk of of a, of a, of |
| 00:27:01> 00:27:05: | a, of a major climate style event has already arrived. |
| 00:27:05> 00:27:08: | We've, we've, we've allowed the situation to develop to a |
| 00:27:08> 00:27:12: | point where regardless of what we might do with the |
| 00:27:12> 00:27:16: | built environment going forward, we would have to accept that |
| 00:27:16> 00:27:19: | there is an increased risk of a of a sort |
| 00:27:19> 00:27:22: | of a climate driven you know change that that is |
| 00:27:22> 00:27:25: | going to have that impact. Is that fair, Juliet, if |
| 00:27:25> 00:27:26: | I have I. |
| 00:27:29> 00:27:32: | It's not uniform, it's not, it's not all assets, but |
| 00:27:32> 00:27:35: | you know, there were shopping centres in East London that |
| 00:27:35> 00:27:37: | were underwater in August last year, which is a a |
| 00:27:37> 00:27:41: | month that you wouldn't necessarily have expected and you wouldn't |
| 00:27:41> 00:27:43: | necessarily have expected it to be a a shopping centre |
| 00:27:44> 00:27:45: | in East London, so. |
| 00:27:46> 00:27:49: | It it. My point is that it it's it's sudden, |
| 00:27:49> 00:27:53: | it's unexpected, it's random. It's not necessarily where we imagine |
| 00:27:53> 00:27:56: | it's going to be, but it also isn't uniform. |
| 00:28:00> 00:28:05: | Right. Well, that's a nice way to start isn't it? |
| 00:28:05> 00:28:08: | But actually I I think it's I think it is |
| 00:28:08> 00:28:12: | highly relevant and I, I, I, I my sense is |
| 00:28:12> 00:28:15: | that we have historically mispriced. |
| | |

| 00:28:20 -> 00:28:23: I might I I sense we might be heading down 00:28:27 -> 00:28:31: the same the same pathway with this but let's let's move to a slightly more positive note I guess in move we we now move we now on we we now on a situation I think where there is widespread acceptance of the swidespread acceptance of the need to readapt to reimagine the built environment, and the state in the West. I think that's the case. 00:28:44 -> 00:28:58: 10:28:45 -> 00:29:99: 20:29:99: 20:29:109: 20:29:119: 20:29:119: 20:29:119: 20:29:119: 20:29:119: 20:29:119: 20:29:119: 20:29:119: 20:29:119: 20:29:119: 20:29:119: 20:29:119: 20:29:119: 20:29:119: 20:29:119: 20:2 | 00:28:16> 00:28:20: | Unlikely risks you know in in this game and I |
|--|---------------------|--|
| 00:28:27 -> 00:28:31: move to a slightly more positive note I guess in 00:28:34 -> 00:28:39: own a situation I think where there is widespread acceptance 00:28:39 -> 00:28:43: of the need to readapt to reimagine the built environment, 00:28:43 -> 00:28:47: ocertainly in the West, I think that's the case. 00:28:52 -> 00:28:54: it's very largely the the sort of the the net 00:28:58 -> 00:29:02: that we've particularly post pandemic you know we've got changing 00:29:02 -> 00:29:06: expectations from people about what they want the built environment 00:29:06 -> 00:29:09: to deliver for them, how they want to use it. 00:29:13 -> 00:29:15: the need for more focus on the US side of 00:29:15 -> 00:29:17: the SG that that you know we, we. 00:29:21 -> 00:29:22: That we're more cognizant of the impact of the built 00:29:22 -> 00:29:23: the sG that that you know we, we. 00:29:24 -> 00:29:21: the sG that that you know we, we. 00:29:23 -> 00:29:23: the certainly in the other day 00:29:24 -> 00:29:24: expect, and the planet is something in the order 00:29:37 -> 00:29:30: mean, actually when you when you look at it, 00:29:37 -> 00:29:40: real estate on the | 00:28:20> 00:28:23: | I might I I sense we might be heading down |
| 00:28:31> 00:28:34: this which is that you know, we now we now own a situation I think where there is widespread acceptance of the need to readapt to reimagine the built environment, certainly certainly in the West, I think that's the case. O0:28:43: -> 00:28:45: And that's a that's a combination of things. I mean it's very largely the the sort of the the net zero type gender making buildings greener. But it's also recognizing that we've particularly post pandemic you know we've got changing expectations from people about what they want the built environment to deliver for them, how they want to use it. There's a growing realization as the report shows around the the need for more focus on the US side of the SG that that you know we, we. That we're more cognizant of the impact of the built environment to 20:29:13: -> 00:29:15: on 29:21: on 29:24: environments on the on the communities that immediately surround it, environments on the on the communities that immediately surround it, on 29:24: on 29:33: on 29:34: -> 00:29:37: on 29:40: on 29:42: on 300 trillion U.S. dollars. Now. 00:29:40: -> 00:29:42: of 300 trillion U.S. dollars. Now. 00:29:47: -> 00:29:47: on 00:29:45: on 00:29:47: on 00:29:49: on 00:29:49: on 00:29:49: on 00:29:49: on 00:29:40: on 00:2 | 00:28:23> 00:28:27: | the same the same pathway with this but let's let's |
| 00:28:34 -> 00:28:39: own a situation I think where there is widespread acceptance 00:28:39 -> 00:28:43: of the need to readapt to reimagine the built environment, 00:28:43 -> 00:28:54: certainly certainly in the West, I think that's the case. 00:28:52 -> 00:28:54: And that's a that's a combination of things. I mean 00:28:54 -> 00:28:58: it's very largely the the sort of the the net 00:28:58 -> 00:29:02: that we've particularly post pandemic you know we've got changing 00:29:02 -> 00:29:06: expectations from people about what they want the built environment 00:29:06 -> 00:29:09: to deliver for them, how they want to use it. 00:29:13 -> 00:29:13: There's a growing realization as the report shows around the the need for more focus on the US side of the mead for more focus on the US side of the soft that that you know we, we. 00:29:15 -> 00:29:17: the SG that that you know we, we. 00:29:24 -> 00:29:24: that that you know we, we. 00:29:24 -> 00:29:24: et cetera and all of those sorts of things. 00:29:24 -> 00:29:23: I mean I, I saw a figure the other day 00:29:33 -> 00:29:40: real estate on the planet is something in the order 00:29:45 -> 00:29:42: of 300 trillion U.S. dollars. 00:29:47 -> 00:29:47: | 00:28:27> 00:28:31: | move to a slightly more positive note I guess in |
| 00:28:39 -> 00:28:43: 00:28:43 -> 00:28:47: certainly certainly in the West, I think that's the case. 00:28:48 -> 00:28:54: 10:28:52 -> 00:28:54: 10:28:54 -> 00:28:55: 10:29:02: 10:29:02 -> 00:29:02: 10:29:02 -> 00:29:05: 10:29:03 -> 00:29:13: 10:29:13 -> 00:29:15: 10:29:14 -> 00:29:15: 10:29:24 -> 00:29:24: 10:29:24 -> 00:29:33: 10:29:23 -> 00:29:33: 10:29:24 -> 00:29:33: 10:29:24 -> 00:29:33: 10:29:24 -> 00:29:33: 10:29:24 -> 00:29:35: 10:29:24 -> 00:29:35: 10:29:25 -> 00:29:35: 10:29:27 -> 00:29:35: 10:29:27 -> 00:29:35: 10:29:27 -> 00:29:35: 10:29:28 -> 00:29:37: 10:29:27 -> 00:29:38: 10:29:27 -> 00:29:38: 10:29:28 -> 00:29:37: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:38: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:38: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:38: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:38: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:38: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:38: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:38: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:38: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:39: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:39: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:39: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:31: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:33: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:31: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:31: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:31: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:31: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:31: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:31: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:31: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:31: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:31: 10:29:31 -> 00:29:31 | 00:28:31> 00:28:34: | this which is that you know, we now we now |
| 00:28:43 -> 00:28:47: certainly certainly in the West, I think that's the case. 00:28:48 -> 00:28:51: And that's a that's a combination of things. I mean 00:28:52 -> 00:28:54: it's very largely the the sort of the the net 00:28:54 -> 00:29:02: that we've particularly post pandemic you know we've got changing 00:29:02 -> 00:29:06: expectations from people about what they want the built environment 00:29:06 -> 00:29:09: to deliver for them, how they want to use it. 00:29:13 -> 00:29:15: There's a growing realization as the report shows around the environment on the need for more focus on the US side of 00:29:14 -> 00:29:17: the SG that that you know we, we. 00:29:18 -> 00:29:21: That we're more cognizant of the impact of the built environments on the on the communities that immediately surround it, et cetera and all of those sorts of things. 00:29:24 -> 00:29:23: I mean I, I saw a figure the other day 00:29:33 -> 00:29:33: I mean I, I saw a figure the other day 00:29:40 -> 00:29:40: Now. 00:29:47 -> 00:29:42: of 300 trillion U.S. dollars. 00:29:47 -> 00:29:45: Now. 00:29:47 -> 00:29:50: worked on, in terms of what I've just discussed and expect, it's quite a big portion. I mean, that's a 00:29:57 -> 00:30:00: </th <th>00:28:34> 00:28:39:</th> <th>own a situation I think where there is widespread acceptance</th> | 00:28:34> 00:28:39: | own a situation I think where there is widespread acceptance |
| 00:28:48 -> 00:28:51:And that's a that's a combination of things. I mean00:28:52 -> 00:28:54:at that's a that's a combination of the the net00:28:54 -> 00:28:58:zero type gender making buildings greener. But it's also recognizing00:28:58 -> 00:29:02:that we've particularly post pandemic you know we've got changing00:29:02 -> 00:29:06:expectations from people about what they want the built environment00:29:06 -> 00:29:09:to deliver for them, how they want to use it.00:29:09 -> 00:29:13:the need for more focus on the US side of00:29:13 -> 00:29:17:the sG that that you know we, we.00:29:18 -> 00:29:21:the sG that that you know we, we.00:29:14 -> 00:29:22:That we're more cognizant of the impact of the built00:29:24 -> 00:29:23:I mean all of those sorts of things.00:29:28 -> 00:29:33:I mean I, I saw a figure the other day00:29:31 -> 00:29:33:I mean I, I saw a figure the other day00:29:37 -> 00:29:40:that that estimated that the total global value of developed00:29:40 -> 00:29:42:of 300 trillion U.S. dollars.00:29:47 -> 00:29:48:Now.00:29:47 -> 00:29:50:worked on, in terms of what I've just discussed and00:29:50 -> 00:29:53:worked on, in terms of what I've just discussed and00:29:57 -> 00:30:00:So and a lot of that is going to fall00:30:00 -> 00:30:03:to the real estate industry because that's what we do.00:30:00 -> 00:30:03:So Duncan, do you think the industry is ready for00:30:17 -> 00:30:16:Probably not on the balance of odds, I don' | 00:28:39> 00:28:43: | of the need to readapt to reimagine the built environment, |
| 00:28:52 -> 00:28:54:it's very largely the the sort of the the net00:28:54 -> 00:28:58:zero type gender making buildings greener. But it's also recognizing00:28:58 -> 00:29:02:that we've particularly post pandemic you know we've got changing00:29:02 -> 00:29:06:expectations from people about what they want the built environment00:29:06 -> 00:29:09:to deliver for them, how they want to use it.00:29:13 -> 00:29:15:There's a growing realization as the report shows around the the need for more focus on the US side of00:29:15 -> 00:29:17:that we're more cognizant of the impact of the built00:29:21 -> 00:29:24:environments on the on the communities that immediately surround it,00:29:22 -> 00:29:27:I mean all of those sorts of things.00:29:28 -> 00:29:30:I mean I, I saw a figure the other day00:29:31 -> 00:29:32:I mean I, I saw a figure the other day00:29:37 -> 00:29:37:how.00:29:40 -> 00:29:42:of 300 trillion U.S. dollars.00:29:43 -> 00:29:44:Now.00:29:45 -> 00:29:47:Even if only a portion of that needs to be00:29:47 -> 00:29:50:worked on, in terms of what I've just discussed and00:29:50 -> 00:29:53:expect, it's quite a big portion. I mean, that's a00:29:57 -> 00:30:08:Herculean task by any description.00:30:09 -> 00:30:08:So and a lot of that is going to fall00:30:09 -> 00:30:09:So and a lot of that is going to fall00:30:11 -> 00:30:09:Probably not on the balance of odds, I don't think00:30:12 -> 00:30:21:it's really for Juliet point r | 00:28:43> 00:28:47: | certainly certainly in the West, I think that's the case. |
| 00:28:54> 00:28:58:zero type gender making buildings greener. But it's also recognizing00:28:58> 00:29:02:that we've particularly post pandemic you know we've got changing00:29:02> 00:29:06:expectations from people about what they want the built environment00:29:09> 00:29:13:to deliver for them, how they want to use it.00:29:13> 00:29:15:There's a growing realization as the report shows around the the need for more focus on the US side of00:29:15> 00:29:17:the SG that that you know we, we.00:29:18> 00:29:22:That we're more cognizant of the impact of the built environments on the on the communities that immediately surround it, et cetera and all of those sorts of things.00:29:24> 00:29:27:the cetera and all of those sorts of things.00:29:31> 00:29:33:I mean I, I saw a figure the other day00:29:33> 00:29:37:that that estimated that the total global value of developed00:29:37> 00:29:40:real estate on the planet is something in the order00:29:40> 00:29:42:of 300 trillion U.S. dollars.00:29:47> 00:29:47:Now.Even if only a portion of that needs to be00:29:50> 00:29:51:worked on, in terms of what I've just discussed and00:29:53> 00:29:55:the real estate industry because that's what we do.00:30:00> 00:30:00:So and a lot of that is going to fall00:30:00> 00:30:00:to the real estate industry because that's what we do.00:30:05> 00:30:09:So Duncan, do you think the industry is ready for00:30:11> 00:30:09:Probably not on the balance of odds, I don't | 00:28:48> 00:28:51: | And that's a that's a combination of things. I mean |
| recognizing 00:28:58> 00:29:02: that we've particularly post pandemic you know we've got changing 00:29:06> 00:29:09: expectations from people about what they want the built environment 00:29:09> 00:29:13: There's a growing realization as the report shows around the the need for more focus on the US side of the need for more focus on the US side of the sG that that you know we, we. 00:29:15> 00:29:17: the SG that that you know we, we. 00:29:18> 00:29:20: That we're more cognizant of the impact of the built environments on the on the communities that immediately surround it, et cetera and all of those sorts of things. 1 mean, actually when you when you look at it, 1 mean I, I saw a figure the other day that that estimated that the total global value of developed real estate on the planet is something in the order of 300 trillion U.S. dollars. 100:29:43> 00:29:42: of 300 trillion U.S. dollars. 100:29:47> 00:29:47: Even if only a portion of that needs to be worked on, in terms of what I've just discussed and expect, it's quite a big portion. I mean, that's a Herculean task by any description. 100:29:57> 00:30:00: So and a lot of that is going to fall to the real estate industry because that's what we do. 100:30:00> 00:30:03: to the real estate industry because that's what we do. 100:30:01> 00:30:01: Probably not on the balance of odds, I don't think it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the issue and as normal you get a degree of denial and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:28:52> 00:28:54: | it's very largely the the sort of the the net |
| changing 00:29:02> 00:29:06: expectations from people about what they want the built environment 00:29:06> 00:29:09: to deliver for them, how they want to use it. 00:29:09> 00:29:13: There's a growing realization as the report shows around the the need for more focus on the US side of 00:29:15> 00:29:17: the SG that that you know we, we. 00:29:18> 00:29:20: That we're more cognizant of the impact of the built 00:29:21> 00:29:21: environments on the on the communities that immediately surround it, 00:29:28> 00:29:30: I mean, actually when you when you look at it, 10:29:31> 00:29:33: I mean I, I saw a figure the other day 10:29:37> 00:29:40: real estate on the planet is something in the order 10:29:40> 00:29:41: Now. 10:29:47> 00:29:42: of 300 trillion U.S. dollars. 10:29:50> 00:29:50: worked on, in terms of what I've just discussed and 10:29:57> 00:30:00: So and a lot of that is going to fall 10:30:00> 00:30:03: to the real estate industry because that's what we do. 10:30:09> 00:30:09: it? 10:30:11> 00:30:21: it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the 10:30:21> 00:30:22: and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:28:54> 00:28:58: | |
| environment to deliver for them, how they want to use it. 100:29:09> 00:29:13: 100:29:13> 00:29:15: 100:29:15> 00:29:17: 100:29:18> 00:29:20: 100:29:18> 00:29:20: 100:29:21> 00:29:24: 100:29:24> 00:29:27: 100:29:28> 00:29:30: 100:29:31> 00:29:33: 100:29:31> 00:29:33: 100:29:31> 00:29:37: 100:29:31> 00:29:37: 100:29:31> 00:29:37: 100:29:31> 00:29:40: 100:29:31> 00:29:40: 100:29:34> 00:29:42: 100:29:34> 00:29:42: 100:29:35> 00:29:45: 100:29:36> 00:29:37: 100:29:37> 00:29:40: 100:29:38> 00:29:40: 100:29:39> 00:29:40: 100:29:40> 00:29:41: 100:29:40> 00:29:45: 100:29:40> 00:29:45: 100:29:40> 00:29:45: 100:29:40> 00:29:55: 100:29:40> 00:29:55: 100:29:50> 00:29:55: 100:29:50> 00:29:55: 100:29:50> 00:29:55: 100:29:50> 00:30:00: 100:30:00> 00:30:03: 100:30:00> 00:30:09: 100:30:01> 00:30:09: 100:30:01> 00:30:21: 100:30:02> 00:30:21: 100:30:02> 00:30:22: 100:30:02> 00:30 | 00:28:58> 00:29:02: | . , , , , , |
| 00:29:09> 00:29:13: There's a growing realization as the report shows around the 00:29:13> 00:29:15: the need for more focus on the US side of the SG that that you know we, we. 00:29:15> 00:29:21: That we're more cognizant of the impact of the built environments on the on the communities that immediately surround it, 00:29:24> 00:29:27: et cetera and all of those sorts of things. 00:29:28> 00:29:30: I mean, actually when you when you look at it, 00:29:31> 00:29:33: I mean I, I saw a figure the other day 00:29:37> 00:29:40: that that estimated that the total global value of developed 00:29:37> 00:29:40: real estate on the planet is something in the order 00:29:40> 00:29:42: of 300 trillion U.S. dollars. 00:29:47> 00:29:47: Now. Even if only a portion of that needs to be 00:29:47> 00:29:50: worked on, in terms of what I've just discussed and 00:29:57> 00:29:55: Herculean task by any description. 00:29:57> 00:30:00: So and a lot of that is going to fall 00:30:05> 00:30:03: to the real estate industry because that's what we do. 00:30:09> 00:30:09: it? 00:30:11> 00:30:21: it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the | 00:29:02> 00:29:06: | |
| 00:29:13> 00:29:15: the need for more focus on the US side of 00:29:15> 00:29:21: the SG that that you know we, we. 00:29:18> 00:29:22: That we're more cognizant of the impact of the built 00:29:21> 00:29:22: environments on the on the communities that immediately surround it, 00:29:28> 00:29:30: I mean, actually when you when you look at it, 00:29:31> 00:29:33: I mean I, I saw a figure the other day 00:29:33> 00:29:37: that that estimated that the total global value of developed 00:29:37> 00:29:40: real estate on the planet is something in the order 00:29:40> 00:29:42: of 300 trillion U.S. dollars. 00:29:45> 00:29:47: Even if only a portion of that needs to be 00:29:47> 00:29:50: worked on, in terms of what I've just discussed and 00:29:50> 00:29:53: expect, it's quite a big portion. I mean, that's a 00:29:57> 00:30:00: So and a lot of that is going to fall 00:30:00> 00:30:03: to the real estate industry because that's what we do. 00:30:05> 00:30:09: it? 00:30:13> 00:30:21: or of the balance of odds, I don't think 00:30:21> 00:30:24: probably not on the balance of odds, I don't think 00:30:24> 00:30:29: and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:29:06> 00:29:09: | to deliver for them, how they want to use it. |
| 00:29:15> 00:29:17: the SG that that you know we, we. 00:29:18> 00:29:24: That we're more cognizant of the impact of the built 00:29:21> 00:29:24: environments on the on the communities that immediately surround it, 00:29:28> 00:29:30: I mean, actually when you when you look at it, 00:29:31> 00:29:33: I mean I, I saw a figure the other day 00:29:33> 00:29:37: that that estimated that the total global value of developed 00:29:37> 00:29:40: real estate on the planet is something in the order 00:29:40> 00:29:42: of 300 trillion U.S. dollars. 00:29:43> 00:29:44: Now. 00:29:45> 00:29:47: Even if only a portion of that needs to be 00:29:50> 00:29:50: worked on, in terms of what I've just discussed and 00:29:53> 00:29:55: Herculean task by any description. 00:29:57> 00:30:00: So and a lot of that is going to fall 00:30:00> 00:30:03: to the real estate industry because that's what we do. 00:30:05> 00:30:08: So Duncan, do you think the industry is ready for 00:30:01> 00:30:02: it? 00:30:13> 00:30:21: it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the 00:30:21> 00:30:24: issue and as normal you get a degree of denial 00:30:24> 00:30:29: and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:29:09> 00:29:13: | There's a growing realization as the report shows around the |
| 00:29:18> 00:29:24: That we're more cognizant of the impact of the built environments on the on the communities that immediately surround it, 00:29:24> 00:29:27: et cetera and all of those sorts of things. I mean, actually when you when you look at it, 1 mean I, I saw a figure the other day 1 mean I, I saw a figur | 00:29:13> 00:29:15: | the need for more focus on the US side of |
| oncolor on the communities that immediately surround it, oncolor oncolor on the communities that immediately surround it, oncolor oncolor on the communities that immediately surround it, oncolor oncolor oncolor on the communities that immediately surround it, oncolor oncolor oncolor oncolor on the communities that immediately surround it, oncolor onco | 00:29:15> 00:29:17: | the SG that that you know we, we. |
| surround it, 00:29:24> 00:29:27: et cetera and all of those sorts of things. 00:29:28> 00:29:30: I mean, actually when you when you look at it, 00:29:31> 00:29:33: I mean I, I saw a figure the other day 00:29:33> 00:29:37: that that estimated that the total global value of developed 00:29:40> 00:29:40: real estate on the planet is something in the order 00:29:40> 00:29:42: of 300 trillion U.S. dollars. Now. 00:29:43> 00:29:44: Now. 00:29:45> 00:29:47: Even if only a portion of that needs to be 00:29:47> 00:29:50: worked on, in terms of what I've just discussed and 00:29:50> 00:29:53: expect, it's quite a big portion. I mean, that's a 00:29:57> 00:30:00: So and a lot of that is going to fall 00:30:00> 00:30:03: to the real estate industry because that's what we do. 00:30:05> 00:30:09: it? 00:30:17> 00:30:16: Probably not on the balance of odds, I don't think 00:30:21> 00:30:24: it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the 00:30:24> 00:30:29: and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:29:18> 00:29:20: | That we're more cognizant of the impact of the built |
| 00:29:28> 00:29:30: I mean, actually when you when you look at it, 00:29:31> 00:29:33: I mean I, I saw a figure the other day 00:29:33> 00:29:37: that that estimated that the total global value of developed 00:29:40> 00:29:40: of 300 trillion U.S. dollars. 00:29:43> 00:29:44: Now. 00:29:45> 00:29:47: Even if only a portion of that needs to be 00:29:47> 00:29:50: worked on, in terms of what I've just discussed and 00:29:50> 00:29:53: expect, it's quite a big portion. I mean, that's a 00:29:57> 00:30:00: So and a lot of that is going to fall 00:30:00> 00:30:03: to the real estate industry because that's what we do. 00:30:05> 00:30:08: So Duncan, do you think the industry is ready for 00:30:13> 00:30:21: it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the 00:30:24> 00:30:29: and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:29:21> 00:29:24: | • |
| 00:29:31> 00:29:33: I mean I, I saw a figure the other day 00:29:33> 00:29:37: that that estimated that the total global value of developed 00:29:37> 00:29:40: real estate on the planet is something in the order 00:29:40> 00:29:42: of 300 trillion U.S. dollars. 00:29:43> 00:29:44: Now. 00:29:45> 00:29:47: Even if only a portion of that needs to be 00:29:47> 00:29:50: worked on, in terms of what I've just discussed and 00:29:50> 00:29:53: expect, it's quite a big portion. I mean, that's a 00:29:53> 00:29:55: Herculean task by any description. 00:29:57> 00:30:00: So and a lot of that is going to fall 00:30:00> 00:30:03: to the real estate industry because that's what we do. 00:30:05> 00:30:08: So Duncan, do you think the industry is ready for 00:30:13> 00:30:16: Probably not on the balance of odds, I don't think 00:30:17> 00:30:21: it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the 00:30:24> 00:30:29: and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:29:24> 00:29:27: | et cetera and all of those sorts of things. |
| 00:29:33> 00:29:37:that that estimated that the total global value of developed00:29:37> 00:29:40:real estate on the planet is something in the order00:29:40> 00:29:42:of 300 trillion U.S. dollars.00:29:43> 00:29:44:Now.00:29:45> 00:29:50:worked on, in terms of what I've just discussed and00:29:50> 00:29:53:expect, it's quite a big portion. I mean, that's a00:29:57> 00:30:00:So and a lot of that is going to fall00:30:00> 00:30:03:to the real estate industry because that's what we do.00:30:09> 00:30:09:it?00:30:13> 00:30:16:Probably not on the balance of odds, I don't think00:30:21> 00:30:24:it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the00:30:24> 00:30:29:and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:29:28> 00:29:30: | I mean, actually when you when you look at it, |
| 00:29:37> 00:29:40: real estate on the planet is something in the order 00:29:40> 00:29:42: of 300 trillion U.S. dollars. 00:29:43> 00:29:44: Now. 00:29:45> 00:29:50: Even if only a portion of that needs to be 00:29:50> 00:29:53: worked on, in terms of what I've just discussed and 00:29:50> 00:29:53: expect, it's quite a big portion. I mean, that's a 00:29:57> 00:30:00: So and a lot of that is going to fall 00:30:00> 00:30:03: to the real estate industry because that's what we do. 00:30:05> 00:30:08: So Duncan, do you think the industry is ready for 00:30:09> 00:30:09: it? 00:30:13> 00:30:16: Probably not on the balance of odds, I don't think 00:30:21> 00:30:21: it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the 00:30:24> 00:30:29: and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:29:31> 00:29:33: | I mean I, I saw a figure the other day |
| 00:29:40> 00:29:42: of 300 trillion U.S. dollars. 00:29:43> 00:29:44: Now. 00:29:45> 00:29:50: worked on, in terms of what I've just discussed and 00:29:50> 00:29:53: expect, it's quite a big portion. I mean, that's a 00:29:53> 00:29:55: Herculean task by any description. 00:29:57> 00:30:00: So and a lot of that is going to fall 00:30:00> 00:30:03: to the real estate industry because that's what we do. 00:30:05> 00:30:08: So Duncan, do you think the industry is ready for 00:30:09> 00:30:09: it? 00:30:13> 00:30:16: Probably not on the balance of odds, I don't think 00:30:21> 00:30:21: it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the 00:30:22> 00:30:29: and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:29:33> 00:29:37: | that that estimated that the total global value of developed |
| 00:29:43> 00:29:44: Now. 00:29:45> 00:29:50: Even if only a portion of that needs to be 00:29:47> 00:29:50: worked on, in terms of what I've just discussed and 00:29:50> 00:29:53: expect, it's quite a big portion. I mean, that's a 00:29:53> 00:29:55: Herculean task by any description. 00:29:57> 00:30:00: So and a lot of that is going to fall 00:30:00> 00:30:03: to the real estate industry because that's what we do. 00:30:05> 00:30:08: So Duncan, do you think the industry is ready for 00:30:09> 00:30:09: it? 00:30:13> 00:30:16: Probably not on the balance of odds, I don't think 00:30:17> 00:30:21: it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the 00:30:21> 00:30:24: issue and as normal you get a degree of denial 00:30:24> 00:30:29: and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:29:37> 00:29:40: | real estate on the planet is something in the order |
| 00:29:45> 00:29:47:Even if only a portion of that needs to be00:29:47> 00:29:50:worked on, in terms of what I've just discussed and00:29:50> 00:29:53:expect, it's quite a big portion. I mean, that's a00:29:57> 00:29:55:Herculean task by any description.00:30:00> 00:30:00:So and a lot of that is going to fall00:30:05> 00:30:03:to the real estate industry because that's what we do.00:30:05> 00:30:08:So Duncan, do you think the industry is ready for00:30:13> 00:30:09:it?00:30:17> 00:30:21:Probably not on the balance of odds, I don't think00:30:21> 00:30:24:it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the00:30:24> 00:30:29:and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:29:40> 00:29:42: | of 300 trillion U.S. dollars. |
| 00:29:47> 00:29:50:worked on, in terms of what I've just discussed and00:29:50> 00:29:53:expect, it's quite a big portion. I mean, that's a00:29:53> 00:29:55:Herculean task by any description.00:29:57> 00:30:00:So and a lot of that is going to fall00:30:00> 00:30:03:to the real estate industry because that's what we do.00:30:05> 00:30:08:So Duncan, do you think the industry is ready for00:30:13> 00:30:16:Probably not on the balance of odds, I don't think00:30:17> 00:30:21:it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the00:30:21> 00:30:24:issue and as normal you get a degree of denial00:30:24> 00:30:29:and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:29:43> 00:29:44: | Now. |
| 00:29:50> 00:29:53: expect, it's quite a big portion. I mean, that's a 00:29:53> 00:29:55: Herculean task by any description. 00:29:57> 00:30:00: So and a lot of that is going to fall 00:30:00> 00:30:03: to the real estate industry because that's what we do. 00:30:05> 00:30:08: So Duncan, do you think the industry is ready for 00:30:09> 00:30:09: it? 00:30:13> 00:30:16: Probably not on the balance of odds, I don't think 00:30:17> 00:30:21: it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the 00:30:21> 00:30:24: issue and as normal you get a degree of denial 00:30:24> 00:30:29: and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:29:45> 00:29:47: | Even if only a portion of that needs to be |
| 00:29:53> 00:29:55:Herculean task by any description.00:29:57> 00:30:00:So and a lot of that is going to fall00:30:00> 00:30:03:to the real estate industry because that's what we do.00:30:05> 00:30:08:So Duncan, do you think the industry is ready for00:30:09> 00:30:09:it?00:30:13> 00:30:16:Probably not on the balance of odds, I don't think00:30:17> 00:30:21:it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the00:30:21> 00:30:24:issue and as normal you get a degree of denial00:30:24> 00:30:29:and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:29:47> 00:29:50: | worked on, in terms of what I've just discussed and |
| 00:29:57> 00:30:00: So and a lot of that is going to fall 00:30:00> 00:30:03: to the real estate industry because that's what we do. 00:30:05> 00:30:08: So Duncan, do you think the industry is ready for 00:30:09> 00:30:09: it? 00:30:13> 00:30:16: Probably not on the balance of odds, I don't think 00:30:17> 00:30:21: it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the 00:30:21> 00:30:24: issue and as normal you get a degree of denial 00:30:24> 00:30:29: and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:29:50> 00:29:53: | expect, it's quite a big portion. I mean, that's a |
| 00:30:00> 00:30:03: to the real estate industry because that's what we do. 00:30:05> 00:30:08: So Duncan, do you think the industry is ready for 00:30:09> 00:30:09: it? 00:30:13> 00:30:16: Probably not on the balance of odds, I don't think 00:30:17> 00:30:21: it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the 00:30:21> 00:30:24: issue and as normal you get a degree of denial 00:30:24> 00:30:29: and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:29:53> 00:29:55: | Herculean task by any description. |
| 00:30:05> 00:30:08:So Duncan, do you think the industry is ready for00:30:09> 00:30:09:it?00:30:13> 00:30:16:Probably not on the balance of odds, I don't think00:30:17> 00:30:21:it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the00:30:21> 00:30:24:issue and as normal you get a degree of denial00:30:24> 00:30:29:and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:29:57> 00:30:00: | So and a lot of that is going to fall |
| 00:30:09> 00:30:09: it? 00:30:13> 00:30:16: Probably not on the balance of odds, I don't think 00:30:17> 00:30:21: it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the 00:30:21> 00:30:24: issue and as normal you get a degree of denial 00:30:24> 00:30:29: and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:30:00> 00:30:03: | to the real estate industry because that's what we do. |
| 00:30:13> 00:30:16:Probably not on the balance of odds, I don't think00:30:17> 00:30:21:it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the00:30:21> 00:30:24:issue and as normal you get a degree of denial00:30:24> 00:30:29:and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:30:05> 00:30:08: | So Duncan, do you think the industry is ready for |
| 00:30:17> 00:30:21: it's really for Juliet point recognize the scale of the 00:30:21> 00:30:24: issue and as normal you get a degree of denial and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:30:09> 00:30:09: | it? |
| 00:30:21> 00:30:24: issue and as normal you get a degree of denial and then possibly even anger management to cure before | 00:30:13> 00:30:16: | Probably not on the balance of odds, I don't think |
| 00:30:24> 00:30:29: and then possibly even anger management to cure before | | |
| , , , | | issue and as normal you get a degree of denial |
| | 00:30:24> 00:30:29: | |

| 00:30:29> 00:30:32: | get the solution. So if you just take I mean |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:30:32> 00:30:36: | what what ever got that the partners and most focused |
| 00:30:36> 00:30:36: | on. |
| 00:30:37> 00:30:43: | Is is is stranded brown officers to green officers in |
| 00:30:43> 00:30:47: | in yeah, in good environments, good senses. |
| 00:30:49> 00:30:52: | On average, in the main big levels, they're now in |
| 00:30:52> 00:30:53: | European cities. |
| 00:30:54> 00:30:58: | With the UK being included in the Europe, only about |
| 00:30:59> 00:31:03: | 10% to stock actually even meets the basic legal requirements |
| 00:31:04> 00:31:09: | that the local authorities governments are saying as a minimum. |
| 00:31:10> 00:31:12: | In 2027 and then in 2013. |
| 00:31:14> 00:31:17: | Now if you ask the average office owner. |
| 00:31:19> 00:31:22: | Do they have the equivalent of the sinking funds? |
| 00:31:23> 00:31:27: | To pay for that retrofit and improvement and do they |
| 00:31:27> 00:31:30: | have the skill sets to execute it? |
| 00:31:31> 00:31:35: | Do they understand the time scales for that and that |
| 00:31:35> 00:31:40: | it will be physically? There are some exemptions, but it |
| 00:31:40> 00:31:44: | will be illegal to let an office property that doesn't |
| 00:31:44> 00:31:48: | meet those requirements in between 2020 seven 2013. |
| 00:31:50> 00:31:55: | It's interesting that I think increasingly from the other end |
| 00:31:55> 00:31:56: | of the lens. |
| 00:31:57> 00:32:02: | Users, consumers, occupiers are seeing evidence of recognizing that, and |
| 00:32:02> 00:32:06: | that's partly because maybe some of them are being put |
| 00:32:06> 00:32:11: | under some pressure for good pressure. What they've promised to |
| 00:32:11> 00:32:17: | stakeholders, shareholders, their employees, their talents, their customers, their clients |
| 00:32:17> 00:32:20: | is all creating significant pressure. |
| 00:32:21> 00:32:22: | All. |
| 00:32:23> 00:32:26: | The owners to recognize that they need these, they they |
| 00:32:26> 00:32:30: | need to remedy these. But on average we think for |
| 00:32:30> 00:32:35: | good prime offices in London, Paris, Berlin, etcetera, etcetera. |
| 00:32:36> 00:32:40: | The cost of remedial retrofitting, not burning carbon and demolishing |
| 00:32:40> 00:32:44: | it and building a new one. And we know demolishing |
| 00:32:44> 00:32:47: | and rebuilding is equivalent to about 50 years use of |
| 00:32:47> 00:32:48: | that office. |
| 00:32:51> 00:32:53: | It's about 20% of value. There are instances where you |
| 00:32:53> 00:32:56: | can point to it being more. There are instance instances |
| | |

| 00:32:56> 00:32:58: | where you can point to it being less. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:32:59> 00:33:04: | But it respects what's happening in the micro environment that |
| 00:33:04> 00:33:05: | means value. |
| 00:33:06> 00:33:11: | Obsolescence of the that and the impact on the value |
| 00:33:11> 00:33:14: | is probably approaching 20% on average. |
| 00:33:15> 00:33:17: | If people take it seriously. |
| 00:33:17> 00:33:21: | Now is that widely recognized node, I'm certain it's not |
| 00:33:21> 00:33:25: | a set of Saturn that year end valuation meetings this |
| 00:33:25> 00:33:28: | year to know it is not being matched. I know |
| 00:33:28> 00:33:31: | there is some, there's a lot of information out there |
| 00:33:31> 00:33:34: | that leads to confusion and some of it is the |
| 00:33:35> 00:33:38: | cost of financing. You know we do debt from NASA |
| 00:33:38> 00:33:41: | will tell us it's going to cost us three times |
| 00:33:41> 00:33:44: | what it would have cost us in the summer if |
| 00:33:44> 00:33:47: | it's possible. Does all all manner of other things. |
| 00:33:47> 00:33:52: | Affecting value but the biggest and most permanent is the |
| 00:33:52> 00:33:57: | need to rectify the carbon footprints and sustainability building so |
| 00:33:57> 00:34:00: | it's fit for purpose for the occupiers. |
| 00:34:01> 00:34:04: | And you can then read that across to all the |
| 00:34:04> 00:34:09: | other sectors, especially residential. Many people spend 80% of their |
| 00:34:09> 00:34:13: | lives where they sleep and where they work in offices |
| 00:34:13> 00:34:16: | and a residential lot so that they are too acute, |
| 00:34:16> 00:34:20: | acute sectors that are in real need of rectifying recognition |
| 00:34:20> 00:34:24: | and then rectification. So I don't think it is. I |
| 00:34:24> 00:34:27: | think it's coming in a rush. But again to Julia's |
| 00:34:27> 00:34:29: | point, it's here and now. |
| 00:34:30> 00:34:33: | Because if you're an occupier, you're not going to commit |
| 00:34:33> 00:34:36: | even for two or three years, let alone 10 to |
| 00:34:36> 00:34:39: | 15 years to occupy a premises that doesn't meet these |
| 00:34:40> 00:34:43: | requirements. Because it's not fair on your staff. It doesn't. |
| 00:34:43> 00:34:47: | It's not fair on your clients and your customers and |
| 00:34:47> 00:34:50: | for for all of those reasons, it's not fair on |
| 00:34:50> 00:34:53: | the environment and and the next generation so. |
| 00:34:54> 00:34:57: | Stop, I don't think it is recognized and that there |
| 00:34:57> 00:35:00: | is still a final thing I'd say on there is |
| 00:35:00> 00:35:04: | there is still witness with several investors that we're meeting, |
| 00:35:04> 00:35:04: | get it? |
| 00:35:05> 00:35:10: | But equally there is a difference between intellectual acknowledgement of |
| 00:35:10> 00:35:11: | the issue. |

| 00:35:12> 00:35:16: | And then you see an in totally different emotional response |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:35:16> 00:35:17: | to it. |
| 00:35:18> 00:35:23: | People will say, yes, that's right, Duncan, it'll take 20% |
| 00:35:23> 00:35:26: | of the value of the office to get it to. |
| 00:35:26> 00:35:30: | But that's not the case for my office. |
| 00:35:30> 00:35:31: | No. |
| 00:35:31> 00:35:32: | And that's the. |
| 00:35:32> 00:35:35: | Issue. No, no, nobody likes to spend money to stand |
| 00:35:35> 00:35:36: | still. |
| 00:35:38> 00:35:39: | I mean NASA. |
| 00:35:41> 00:35:44: | You know if you take what what Duncan's just said |
| 00:35:44> 00:35:48: | as as a reasonable estimate of an all and I |
| 00:35:48> 00:35:51: | think the 20% number is, is, is, is one |
| 00:35:51> 00:35:54: | I've I've heard before as well. I mean that |
| 00:35:54> 00:35:57: | implies an enormous investment of capital. |
| 00:35:58> 00:36:01: | I mean just just putting aside the practicalities of it |
| 00:36:01> 00:36:03: | not come back to that in a in in a |
| 00:36:03> 00:36:06: | moment, but but do you think, do you think that |
| 00:36:06> 00:36:09: | that capital markets in their various forms as they and |
| 00:36:09> 00:36:11: | debt markets as they, as they look really |
| 00:36:11> 00:36:14: | do you think that there's anything like? |
| 00:36:15> 00:36:18: | That amount that that capital available to to finance this. |
| 00:36:20> 00:36:20: | I think. |
| 00:36:21> 00:36:25: | I think probably not right now, and it depends. |
| 00:36:26> 00:36:29: | How that debt is distributed over a number of years |
| 00:36:29> 00:36:30: | as well, right? |
| 00:36:31> 00:36:35: | I mean, I think, I think what's interesting, if you |
| 00:36:35> 00:36:38: | look at the UI survey and you, you look at |
| 00:36:38> 00:36:41: | where suburban officers rank. |
| 00:36:41> 00:36:47: | In relation to potential investment performance, they ranked 27th out |
| 00:36:47> 00:36:51: | of 27th asset assets, right. So I think the market |
| 00:36:51> 00:36:55: | understands and appreciates the issue and I think the fact |
| 00:36:55> 00:36:56: | that. |
| 00:36:57> 00:37:02: | European governments introducing minimum PC |
| | requirements or in the process |
| 00:37:02> 00:37:06: | of doing so, forces both both borrowers and lenders to |
| 00:37:07> 00:37:10: | start tackling the issue. I think one thing that the |
| 00:37:10> 00:37:14: | global financial crisis did for us it it it, |
| 00:37:14> 00:37:19: | it stressed the importance of having the most diversified form |
| 00:37:19> 00:37:22: | of of of of sources of debt. Right. So |
| 00:37:22> 00:37:25: | we do now benefit from far more diversity in debt |
| | · |

| 00:37:25> 00:37:27: | than we than we ever have. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:37:27> 00:37:32: | Across Europe and in recent years most most sort of |
| 00:37:32> 00:37:38: | financings of transitional assets or repositioning of assets in |
| | particular |
| 00:37:38> 00:37:42: | offices have been funded by debt funds and and debt |
| 00:37:42> 00:37:47: | funds have the requisite risk appetite to fund those sorts |
| 00:37:47> 00:37:52: | of transactions. They are growing in scale and size and |
| 00:37:52> 00:37:56: | and and probably best place to tackle this issue. |
| 00:37:57> 00:38:01: | And hopefully when the capital markets return, we can also |
| 00:38:01> 00:38:04: | tap into CRC Los where where debt funds are able |
| 00:38:04> 00:38:07: | to actually raise additional capital. |
| 00:38:08> 00:38:12: | Charmed beyond the LP capital that they have to try |
| 00:38:12> 00:38:15: | and to try and tackle this issue and there are, |
| 00:38:15> 00:38:18: | there are a number of schemes out there for sustainable |
| 00:38:18> 00:38:22: | debt, but in I mean they're they're tiny in terms |
| 00:38:22> 00:38:25: | of the scale of the problem right now. So, so |
| 00:38:25> 00:38:26: | there's still a lot to do. |
| 00:38:29> 00:38:31: | I mean, Juliet, I I think you've probably done a |
| 00:38:32> 00:38:33: | bit of work looking at the. |
| 00:38:34> 00:38:37: | You know, the reality of, of, of trying to |
| 00:38:37> 00:38:41: | execute this, this change. I mean maybe you're assuming |
| 00 00 44 > 00 00 45 | that |
| 00:38:41> 00:38:45: | we've got the money and and that's not clear either, |
| 00:38:45> 00:38:48: | is it? Is it actually possible to be done in |
| 00:38:48> 00:38:50: | any sort of reasonable time frame? |
| 00:38:51> 00:38:53: | Yeah, it is. I just want if I answer that |
| 00:38:53> 00:38:55: | in a second, I want to go back to your |
| 00:38:56> 00:38:59: | previous question. Your previous question related to social value and |
| 00:38:59> 00:39:02: | and I'm not entirely sure that we answered the social |
| 00:39:02> 00:39:05: | value aspect of the conversation. So if if you're willing, |
| 00:39:05> 00:39:08: | I'm just spend a second, please do. Is it possible |
| 00:39:08> 00:39:11: | because it forms part of it? Is it possible conversation? |
| 00:39:11> 00:39:14: | Yeah. Social value reporting is is one of the harder |
| 00:39:14> 00:39:16: | aspects to measure. So the reason, the reason why we're |
| 00:39:17> 00:39:19: | all talking about SG is because you know, going back |
| 00:39:19> 00:39:21: | to 20 years it was CSR, Now it's SG. |
| 00:39:21> 00:39:25: | And there are rumblings of conversations around the world. I've |
| 00:39:25> 00:39:28: | just come back from America where people are saying is |
| 00:39:28> 00:39:29: | it even going to be SG or is it gonna |
| 00:39:30> 00:39:32: | become something else, right. So there there's kind of a |
| 00:39:33> 00:39:35: | mood out there that it isn't here to stay. I |
| | • |

| 00:39:35> 00:39:37: | would, I was also at COP 27. And I can |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:39:37> 00:39:40: | absolutely tell you that having looked at things like Mark |
| 00:39:40> 00:39:43: | Carney, G fans initiative and what's going on across, you |
| 00:39:43> 00:39:46: | know, European changes in regulation and North American changes in |
| 00:39:47> 00:39:49: | regulation in terms of financial disclosure. |
| 00:39:49> 00:39:52: | The the way in which investors are assessing projects is |
| 00:39:52> 00:39:55: | changing. And of course it's on Capitol Valley, but it's |
| 00:39:55> 00:39:59: | also on environmental and social impact. Environmental we know we |
| 00:39:59> 00:40:02: | can measure the greenhouse gases, we can measure the embodied |
| 00:40:02> 00:40:05: | and operational carbon. We can look at air quality. So |
| 00:40:05> 00:40:06: | the E is quite measurable. |
| 00:40:07> 00:40:09: | The US is a little bit harder because the US |
| 00:40:09> 00:40:11: | has been used as a kind of shorthand for the |
| 00:40:11> 00:40:14: | people in the building. Is it, is it well, has |
| 00:40:14> 00:40:16: | it got good air quality? Does it encourage health and |
| 00:40:16> 00:40:19: | Wellness and fitness and and all those good things that |
| 00:40:19> 00:40:22: | happen inside the building. The shift now seems to be |
| 00:40:22> 00:40:24: | and this is where the change in mindset and is |
| 00:40:24> 00:40:27: | it achievable comes from. How do I demonstrate that this |
| 00:40:27> 00:40:29: | asset is contributing to the community around it in a |
| 00:40:29> 00:40:33: | way that's transparent and measurable? And there's a few ways |
| 00:40:33> 00:40:35: | of doing that. One is you can you can apply |
| 00:40:35> 00:40:37: | an economic metric to it. The other is. |
| 00:40:37> 00:40:39: | You can do it in in softer ways, but is |
| 00:40:39> 00:40:43: | it things like access to jobs, access to community space, |
| 00:40:43> 00:40:46: | access to, you know, social funds or charitable funds that |
| 00:40:46> 00:40:50: | are distributed in that local area? Did it improve air |
| 00:40:50> 00:40:53: | quality in a climate event? Can the Community access call |
| 00:40:53> 00:40:56: | for heat or or safe spaces? Is it designed for |
| 00:40:56> 00:41:01: | equity, inclusion, diversity, mobility issues? So there's a whole range |
| 00:41:01> 00:41:04: | of ways that an asset manager, investor, developer, owner and |
| 00:41:04> 00:41:08: | operator can look at contributing to the community. |
| 00:41:08> 00:41:11: | It's beyond just the users of the building, which brings |
| 00:41:11> 00:41:12: | us to how do we do that? |
| 00:41:13> 00:41:15: | We if you take a hierarchy we we we worked |
| 00:41:15> 00:41:17: | a thing called a 10 point plan for a net |
| 00:41:17> 00:41:20: | zero building. So you you basically say right can I |
| | |

| 00:41:20> 00:41:23: | retain a building step one, use what you've got, don't |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:41:23> 00:41:26: | knock it down, don't start new unless you absolutely have |
| 00:41:26> 00:41:29: | to. So the first hurdle is can I retain it |
| 00:41:29> 00:41:32: | and refurbish it. If you look at what's happened less |
| 00:41:32> 00:41:34: | in the UK but but you know one of the |
| 00:41:34> 00:41:37: | things that I think we're at risk of missing in |
| 00:41:37> 00:41:40: | this conversation is that post pandemic working has changed and |
| 00:41:40> 00:41:43: | so occupancy of offices and density of occupancy of your |
| 00:41:43> 00:41:44: | offices and usage. |
| 00:41:44> 00:41:47: | Has changed. We don't entirely know what that looks like |
| 00:41:47> 00:41:50: | yet, but we do know it means hybrid working, and |
| 00:41:50> 00:41:53: | it means that the potential to convert office to residential |
| 00:41:53> 00:41:56: | within city centres to recover value is something that we're |
| 00:41:56> 00:41:59: | collectively going to have to look at. And that has |
| 00:41:59> 00:42:02: | a planning implication. It has a value implication, it has |
| 00:42:02> 00:42:05: | a social usage implication, it reactivates streets. It does all |
| 00:42:05> 00:42:08: | of those things. So can we refurbish it? Can we |
| 00:42:08> 00:42:10: | mix use within the building and do that in a |
| 00:42:10> 00:42:13: | way that that stacks up from a capital perspective? How |
| 00:42:13> 00:42:15: | do we do that with the lowest? |
| 00:42:15> 00:42:18: | Embodied carbon possible with it, you know. |
| 00:42:20> 00:42:23: | A friendly concrete recycled steel biomaterials on fit out. How |
| 00:42:23> 00:42:25: | do you get the life cycle carbon analysis on that |
| 00:42:25> 00:42:28: | building down as low as it can possibly be because |
| 00:42:28> 00:42:31: | that becomes the investable proposition and how do you dial |
| 00:42:31> 00:42:33: | up the social impact to the people outside of the |
| 00:42:33> 00:42:36: | building and inside the building and do there were things |
| 00:42:36> 00:42:39: | that you know we're talking about net zero conversation other |
| 00:42:39> 00:42:42: | people are talking about regenerative. So there there is I |
| 00:42:42> 00:42:46: | think there's a movement in language that's happening in these |
| 00:42:46> 00:42:49: | conversations that I've got clients asking for nature based solutions |
| 00:42:49> 00:42:50: | biodiversity and. |
| 00:42:50> 00:42:54: | Again designing with nature. And so this isn't about how |
| 00:42:54> 00:42:57: | do I decarbonise the world's worth of trillions of dollars |
| 00:42:57> 00:43:00: | of of standing built stock this is how do I |
| 00:43:00> 00:43:03: | design that with nature in a way that creates equity |
| 00:43:03> 00:43:05: | for humans planet and. |
| 00:43:05> 00:43:05: | Asset. |
| 00:43:07> 00:43:08: | Long answer. |
| 00:43:09> 00:43:11: | No, look I think that's. |
| | |

| 00:43:12> 00:43:15: | I think that's going to be right. You know, it's |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:43:15> 00:43:17: | got to be the direction that that this goes in. |
| 00:43:17> 00:43:20: | I suppose the, the sort of the very practical |
| 00:43:20> 00:43:22: | issue though here also is you can do all of |
| 00:43:22> 00:43:25: | that. You can, you can do all that thinking, you |
| 00:43:25> 00:43:27: | can do all that planning, you know, you can come |
| 00:43:27> 00:43:28: | up with those solutions. |
| 00:43:29> 00:43:31: | But you know, in an environment where. |
| 00:43:32> 00:43:35: | Put bluntly it's you know, you're lucky if you can |
| 00:43:35> 00:43:38: | get a plumber to come to your house to fix |
| 00:43:38> 00:43:40: | your boiler, you know in the in in sort of |
| 00:43:40> 00:43:42: | a A3 to four week. I mean my, my concern |
| 00:43:42> 00:43:45: | would be that that the construction industry and I think |
| 00:43:45> 00:43:48: | we've got to view the construction industry as part of |
| 00:43:48> 00:43:51: | the conversation here. You know, it's got a huge job |
| 00:43:51> 00:43:54: | in front of it in terms of scaling itself up |
| 00:43:54> 00:43:55: | to actually deliver. |
| 00:43:56> 00:43:59: | You know those those outcomes I think. |
| 00:43:59> 00:44:02: | Um, I mean look. All of which I think leads |
| 00:44:02> 00:44:05: | me to to to some of my next point here, |
| 00:44:05> 00:44:06: | which is that? |
| 00:44:07> 00:44:11: | You know, we're all, I think, in agreement that there's |
| 00:44:11> 00:44:14: | huge challenges in front of us here on the built |
| 00:44:14> 00:44:18: | environment that the real estate industry, you know, is, is |
| 00:44:18> 00:44:21: | bound to to be, you know, a sort of leader |
| 00:44:21> 00:44:24: | in all of this, but I'm not sure that. |
| 00:44:25> 00:44:27: | Well, I think one of the things that real estate |
| 00:44:27> 00:44:30: | is you're going to have to think about here is |
| 00:44:30> 00:44:32: | how it positions itself in society. |
| 00:44:33> 00:44:36: | You know what it's brand is and and the the |
| 00:44:36> 00:44:40: | degree to which it can, it can get positive support |
| 00:44:40> 00:44:45: | from society, from governments et cetera. You know on, on |
| 00:44:45> 00:44:48: | this journey because my my feeling is that you know |
| 00:44:49> 00:44:52: | we're starting from a not very good place on that. |
| 00:44:52> 00:44:53: | Front. |
| 00:44:55> 00:44:58: | You know that, that, that for all that. |
| 00:44:59> 00:45:02: | Everybody on the planet, except a few people, hermits living |
| 00:45:02> 00:45:06: | in caves somewhere, you know, interacts with the built |
| | environment |
| 00:45:06> 00:45:07: | every day. |
| 00:45:08> 00:45:12: | But has no real conception of who built that building, |
| 00:45:12> 00:45:15: | who owns that building, you know what was the process |
| | |

| 00:45:15> 00:45:18: | that led to its, it's its creation et cetera in |
|----------------------|---|
| 00:45:18> 00:45:21: | a way that you know, they would have different views |
| 00:45:21> 00:45:24: | I guess in terms of the car that they drive |
| 00:45:24> 00:45:27: | or the, you know the food that they eat et |
| 00:45:27> 00:45:30: | cetera. These industries have been I think much better you |
| 00:45:30> 00:45:34: | know at at at sort of positioning themselves. I mean |
| 00:45:34> 00:45:36: | is that something that you think? |
| 00:45:38> 00:45:41: | That the industry needs to fix. |
| 00:45:43> 00:45:46: | It it it it's brand and its reputation with the |
| 00:45:46> 00:45:49: | with the public at large and and with governments in |
| 00:45:49> 00:45:50: | particular. |
| 00:45:52> 00:45:52: | Duncan. |
| 00:45:54> 00:45:55: | Probably. |
| 00:45:56> 00:46:00: | So I think it's very difficult to to be generous, |
| 00:46:00> 00:46:04: | but I think there is some significant improvement and there |
| 00:46:04> 00:46:08: | is a a real awareness and acknowledgement that if the |
| 00:46:08> 00:46:11: | built in Brown alone towns and cities are depending on |
| 00:46:11> 00:46:15: | which numbers you use and the various 40 to 50% |
| 00:46:15> 00:46:15: | of the. |
| 00:46:16> 00:46:20: | Carbon footprints, you've got to start there and that affects |
| 00:46:21> 00:46:23: | the industry and everybody working in it. |
| 00:46:25> 00:46:28: | I think the questions that that you need to ask |
| 00:46:28> 00:46:32: | yourself that make that easier is, is this is sort |
| 00:46:32> 00:46:35: | of basic sort of business MBA stuff, but it's very |
| 00:46:35> 00:46:39: | applicable to the real estate industry that people start with |
| 00:46:39> 00:46:41: | what they do and what it is and what the |
| 00:46:41> 00:46:44: | product is and what the building is. |
| 00:46:45> 00:46:49: | If you start with why you're doing it, and then |
| 00:46:49> 00:46:53: | how are you doing it? The right what or the |
| 00:46:53> 00:46:58: | right product comes out and there are many really strong, |
| 00:46:58> 00:47:03: | almost eternal, but really strong brands who produce very |
| 00.47.02 > 00.47.05. | good |
| 00:47:03> 00:47:05: | goods and services. |
| 00:47:06> 00:47:11: | That may only be marginally better than competition, but people |
| 00:47:11> 00:47:16: | buy them because that entity articulates why it's doing it. |
| 00:47:17> 00:47:20: | A guide for real estate is is you know there |
| 00:47:20> 00:47:23: | there is a win, win. But why you're doing, why |
| 00:47:23> 00:47:27: | you're developing, why you're investing, why you're retrofitting if the |
| 00:47:27> 00:47:31: | answer can be that to improve the working environment or |
| 00:47:31> 00:47:33: | to improve the built environment. |
| 00:47:34> 00:47:35: | Umm. |
| | |

| 00:47:36> 00:47:38: | How you go about that and what you end up |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:47:38> 00:47:41: | with can be a win. Win. It doesn't mean to |
| 00:47:41> 00:47:44: | be to to depress with two hands. And we |
| 00:47:44> 00:47:47: | all know it will. It will ultimately improve returns because |
| 00:47:47> 00:47:48: | you'll make. |
| 00:47:49> 00:47:52: | And problems that people want to occupy and have a |
| 00:47:52> 00:47:56: | higher value as a consequences, basic fundamentals, this yield thing |
| 00:47:56> 00:48:00: | goes up and down. We've all got very little control |
| 00:48:00> 00:48:03: | and influence over that. We've got lots of control and |
| 00:48:03> 00:48:08: | influence over creating the best environment possible, which makes more |
| 00:48:08> 00:48:12: | people want to occupy our investments than other people's, which |
| 00:48:12> 00:48:14: | makes them more viable. So I think that is a |
| 00:48:15> 00:48:17: | way of trying to deal with the the stigma of |
| 00:48:17> 00:48:18: | the industry. |
| 00:48:19> 00:48:21: | On there, I don't really want to mention them, but |
| 00:48:21> 00:48:24: | there are one or two brands that have different their |
| 00:48:24> 00:48:26: | vote strong emotions but Apple for example. |
| 00:48:27> 00:48:30: | Do explain very well why they're doing it and the |
| 00:48:30> 00:48:33: | way they're doing it in style and and actually |
| 00:48:33> 00:48:37: | I would argue I'm sure people in Apple would dispute |
| 00:48:37> 00:48:40: | this that the, the, the maybe even how they |
| 00:48:40> 00:48:43: | are producing it and what what their product is, it |
| 00:48:43> 00:48:47: | might look a bit better. It's very subjective. There's not |
| 00:48:47> 00:48:50: | demonstrably different to a Hewlett Packard like a laptop for |
| 00:48:51> 00:48:54: | example. So what is the difference? Well maybe you could |
| 00:48:54> 00:48:57: | argue customers and users are using Apple because of. |
| 00:48:58> 00:49:01: | Why they say they're in business, and I think that |
| 00:49:01> 00:49:05: | we could probably learn something by way of one example |
| 00:49:05> 00:49:08: | from that from how we approach the real estate industry |
| 00:49:08> 00:49:09: | going forwards. |
| 00:49:10> 00:49:13: | But it does seem to me that that you know, |
| 00:49:13> 00:49:18: | you can draw a parallel with the development of vaccines |
| 00:49:18> 00:49:20: | for in the in the pandemic. |
| 00:49:21> 00:49:24: | You know the, the, the governments had the the |
| 00:49:24> 00:49:26: | money and the will and and the you know the |
| 00:49:26> 00:49:29: | the the various drug companies had the the sort of |
| 00:49:29> 00:49:32: | the skills and the ideas that they developed and and |
| 00:49:32> 00:49:35: | sort of one got behind the other to sort of |
| 00:49:35> 00:49:38: | drive that forward. I mean you you'd like to think |
| 00:49:38> 00:49:41: | would that there was the potential for similar sorts of |
| | • |

| 00:49:41> 00:49:45: | arrangements you know between governments and the industry to find |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:49:45> 00:49:48: | solutions to various problems that Juliet was. |
| 00:49:49> 00:49:52: | Was was outlining, but I mean I, you know my, |
| 00:49:52> 00:49:55: | my somewhat jaundiced view of what went on in the |
| 00:49:55> 00:49:58: | pandemic was was that the, you know, the real estate |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:01: | industry was just sort of lent on to you know |
| 00:50:01> 00:50:04: | to support its its client base and was probably the |
| 00:50:04> 00:50:08: | only industry that didn't get you know direct financial support |
| 00:50:08> 00:50:11: | from the government for doing that. But I mean Juliet, |
| 00:50:11> 00:50:14: | what, what what's your thoughts there? |
| 00:50:16> 00:50:17: | l'm. |
| 00:50:19> 00:50:19: | I think. |
| 00:50:20> 00:50:23: | Brand value is built on trust and the real estate |
| 00:50:23> 00:50:25: | industry, we didn't use the word faceless but I think |
| 00:50:25> 00:50:28: | I think you were sort of touching at it, but |
| 00:50:28> 00:50:31: | there isn't a good understanding of who owns operates assets |
| 00:50:31> 00:50:34: | and there's also a perception that it's incredibly wealthy. So |
| 00:50:35> 00:50:37: | whilst whilst there may be a perception that it was |
| 00:50:37> 00:50:41: | an under supported industry in the pandemic, I think there's |
| 00:50:41> 00:50:43: | a lot of people that would have really struggled with |
| 00:50:43> 00:50:47: | the idea that landlords and reeds were receiving financial help |
| 00:50:47> 00:50:49: | during that environment and so. |
| 00:50:50> 00:50:53: | Taking this out of a conversation about privilege or or |
| 00:50:53> 00:50:57: | relative wealth and asking the question, what's a customer gonna |
| 00:50:57> 00:51:00: | look to us to produce? They're going to look to |
| 00:51:00> 00:51:05: | us to produce social equity and environmental outcomes, because that's |
| 00:51:05> 00:51:07: | what they're now reporting on so. |
| 00:51:08> 00:51:10: | I don't. I don't see. |
| 00:51:11> 00:51:14: | They're kind of you know industry I've I've just been |
| 00:51:14> 00:51:18: | at cop companies were kept away from governments whilst agreements |
| 00:51:19> 00:51:22: | were being made and yet capital lives within companies and |
| 00:51:22> 00:51:26: | companies can make decisions and deploy capital to to get |
| 00:51:26> 00:51:29: | on board with an agenda and and so often the |
| 00:51:29> 00:51:33: | conversation is government ought to legislate for this mandate it |
| 00:51:33> 00:51:34: | make me do it. |
| 00:51:35> 00:51:39: | And and yet the the the capacity to actually |

| 00:51:39> 00:51:42: | deal with things lives in the boardrooms and it lives |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:51:42> 00:51:46: | on the annual reports and it lives within the in |
| 00:51:46> 00:51:50: | the sustainability reports. So maybe that's a long way of |
| 00:51:50> 00:51:54: | saying I'm not sure that we necessarily deserved the pandemic |
| 00:51:54> 00:51:57: | style cooperation to to fix the big COVID problem. I |
| 00:51:57> 00:52:00: | think the onus sits on us as an industry. It |
| 00:52:00> 00:52:04: | was, it was made very personal to me. Someone said |
| 00:52:04> 00:52:05: | if if 40% of emissions. |
| 00:52:06> 00:52:09: | Are leading to 40% of climate deaths or climate migrants. |
| 00:52:09> 00:52:11: | That's four in 10 belong to ulot. |
| 00:52:12> 00:52:15: | And and then it gets real, right. So I don't |
| 00:52:15> 00:52:18: | need government to tell me that we should get on |
| 00:52:18> 00:52:21: | with it. It's abundantly clear that we should get on |
| 00:52:21> 00:52:23: | with it and and the capital to do that and |
| 00:52:23> 00:52:26: | the will to do that and the ability to execute |
| 00:52:26> 00:52:28: | against that, loosen the boardrooms. |
| 00:52:31> 00:52:33: | Yeah, although, although I I. |
| 00:52:35> 00:52:38: | My sense is that that the scale of this problem |
| 00:52:38> 00:52:41: | is, is going to be such that it's not going |
| 00:52:41> 00:52:44: | to be enough that the real estate industry by itself |
| 00:52:44> 00:52:47: | thinks it needs to get on with it. You know |
| 00:52:47> 00:52:50: | it. It's it's going to need, it's going to need |
| 00:52:50> 00:52:52: | all the help it can get. |
| 00:52:53> 00:52:55: | It shouldn't wait for government to. |
| 00:52:55> 00:52:57: | Mandate no agreed but but it's not going to get |
| 00:52:57> 00:53:01: | help with the reputation that it's currently got which goes |
| 00:53:01> 00:53:03: | back to your point about trust I think so I |
| 00:53:03> 00:53:05: | you know I just feel that that's a that's a |
| 00:53:06> 00:53:07: | that's a major issue coming to. |
| 00:53:09> 00:53:11: | A question has come up on the Q&A which I |
| 00:53:11> 00:53:14: | think sort of leads us neatly in into the the. |
| 00:53:14> 00:53:16: | The next part of this is. |
| 00:53:17> 00:53:20: | Does the industry have the skill set currently to retrofit |
| 00:53:20> 00:53:23: | the existing old stock and if not what can we |
| 00:53:23> 00:53:25: | do to to bridge the skill gap. I mean I |
| 00:53:25> 00:53:28: | think the I'd like to sort of broaden that out |
| 00:53:28> 00:53:31: | a little bit because I think at the beginning of |
| 00:53:31> 00:53:34: | all this Duncan was talking about you know the the |
| 00:53:34> 00:53:36: | sort of the move towards. |
| 00:53:37> 00:53:40: | More sort of operationalised version of of of Real |
| 00:53:41> 00:53:44: | Estate, you know and and more a more value |
| | |

| 00:53:44> 00:53:46: | add by what we what we do with it and |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:53:46> 00:53:49: | I'm I'm just interested in in what we think this |
| 00:53:49> 00:53:51: | means in terms of. |
| 00:53:52> 00:53:53: | What a. |
| 00:53:53> 00:53:56: | What are real estate investment management, if I can describe |
| 00:53:56> 00:53:59: | it that way, organization is going to look like going |
| 00:53:59> 00:54:00: | forward. |
| 00:54:00> 00:54:01: | You know the. |
| 00:54:02> 00:54:05: | The sorts of people and the sorts of skills that |
| 00:54:05> 00:54:09: | have traditionally been in it, you know, and and and |
| 00:54:09> 00:54:12: | and what we think that's going to look like going |
| 00:54:12> 00:54:12: | forward. |
| 00:54:14> 00:54:17: | I mean NASA you've you know Brooklyn's is is is, |
| 00:54:17> 00:54:21: | is, is, is relatively new in the in the special |
| 00:54:21> 00:54:23: | case but what's your thoughts on that. |
| 00:54:26> 00:54:26: | So. |
| 00:54:28> 00:54:30: | I think what I'd say is probably since around 2015, |
| 00:54:31> 00:54:34: | the vast majority of our business has been on alternative |
| 00:54:34> 00:54:34: | assets and and. |
| 00:54:35> 00:54:38: | The vast majority of those have involved operating assets. |
| 00:54:39> 00:54:43: | So there's been a a dramatic shift mainly mainly driven |
| 00:54:43> 00:54:46: | by the fact that that interest rates were so low |
| 00:54:46> 00:54:49: | and there was so much equity in the market and |
| 00:54:50> 00:54:54: | investors chasing returns had to look at alternative asset classes. |
| 00:54:54> 00:54:59: | So there's been there's been this dramatic shift to alternative |
| 00:54:59> 00:55:03: | assets and and alternative assets in specialized industries and those |
| 00:55:04> 00:55:08: | industries involved a lot more operating operating risk, right. |
| 00:55:08> 00:55:13: | And in taking that that operating risk you move away |
| 00:55:13> 00:55:18: | from the traditional asset manager, property manager model and it's |
| 00:55:18> 00:55:23: | and it's much more sort of service service orientated. You |
| 00:55:23> 00:55:27: | move away from long term contracted lease income and you |
| 00:55:27> 00:55:32: | move towards and assessing whether an operating business can create |
| 00:55:33> 00:55:39: | secure and sustainable income through through shorter term contracts etcetera. |
| 00:55:39> 00:55:43: | And therefore it becomes more of a service, they become |
| 00:55:43> 00:55:48: | more service LED businesses, which means that the focus then |
| 00:55:48> 00:55:52: | shifts more towards you know, customer service guest. |

| 00:55:53> 00:55:58: | Guest relations brand how you brand the management team becomes |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:55:58> 00:56:01: | much, much more fundamental. |
| 00:56:02> 00:56:05: | To, to, to, you know, to to the online business. |
| 00:56:06> 00:56:07: | And and and. |
| 00:56:08> 00:56:11: | Instead of outsourcing a lot of services, many real estate |
| 00:56:11> 00:56:16: | investors end up providing those services themselves. Repair, Maintenance FM, |
| 00:56:16> 00:56:17: | they may provide utilities. |
| 00:56:19> 00:56:22: | Etcetera. So, so, so, so. |
| 00:56:23> 00:56:24: | The nature of. |
| 00:56:25> 00:56:28: | Really say teams is is changing and and the way |
| 00:56:28> 00:56:31: | in which lenders have to underwrite that risk is that |
| 00:56:31> 00:56:34: | has as fundamentally changed. But we do benefit from the |
| 00:56:34> 00:56:37: | fact that we we do not have very diverse sources |
| 00:56:37> 00:56:39: | of debt and a lot of that debt is also |
| 00:56:40> 00:56:43: | financed through the leverage finance market and the corporate bond |
| 00:56:43> 00:56:46: | markets. You know a lot of rats actually raise their |
| 00:56:46> 00:56:50: | capital not through, not through state finance but actually through |
| 00:56:50> 00:56:54: | the corporate bond markets. So there are there's an abundance |
| 00:56:54> 00:56:55: | in terms of supply. |
| 00:56:55> 00:56:59: | Dead capital to fund that type of operating risk. And |
| 00:56:59> 00:57:03: | depending on where real estate value falls out, it either |
| 00:57:03> 00:57:06: | says in the real estate finance markets or it goes |
| 00:57:06> 00:57:08: | into the leveraged finance market. |
| 00:57:10> 00:57:14: | Yeah, OK. I mean Duncan, you know you, you you've |
| 00:57:14> 00:57:17: | recently moved, moved organizations and and and I guess this |
| 00:57:18> 00:57:21: | would be something that you'll be thinking about I mean. |
| 00:57:24> 00:57:26: | We must be looking, must we at at, at, at |
| 00:57:26> 00:57:28: | a, at a, at a very different sort of landscape |
| 00:57:28> 00:57:30: | in terms of the people that you would have working |
| 00:57:30> 00:57:32: | for you going forward, I would imagine. |
| 00:57:33> 00:57:33: | Yeah. |
| 00:57:34> 00:57:34: | Yes. |
| 00:57:36> 00:57:38: | Well, I mean, there are. |
| 00:57:39> 00:57:42: | The old way of being a fund manager and being |
| 00:57:42> 00:57:43: | the capital allocator. |
| 00:57:44> 00:57:45: | Is probably over. |
| 00:57:47> 00:57:50: | I do. About Capital Partners, we've got a, we would |

| 00:57:50> 00:57:54: 00:57:54> 00:57:59: | call in jargon an integrated team. So there are people like me who come from traditional investment backgrounds, |
|--|--|
| | they're asset |
| 00:57:59> 00:58:04: | managers, was development skills, was development management skills, was leasing |
| 00:58:04> 00:58:07: | skills and it's totally integrated and that. |
| 00:58:08> 00:58:12: | I believe leads to better outcomes for the occupiers because |
| 00:58:12> 00:58:16: | you're in more you're in control of the various constituent |
| 00:58:16> 00:58:20: | parts that have value, whether you want a green outcome |
| 00:58:20> 00:58:24: | or you're focusing on the social outcome and the amenities. |
| 00:58:25> 00:58:28: | And I think that's really important to have that understanding |
| 00:58:28> 00:58:31: | you can't do everything for everybody and hence that's why |
| 00:58:31> 00:58:33: | you know at the moment we're we're very focused in |
| 00:58:34> 00:58:36: | Brown to green officers and making sure that happens, but |
| 00:58:36> 00:58:37: | I don't think. |
| 00:58:38> 00:58:41: | We use best in class. We we we use we |
| 00:58:41> 00:58:42: | use best in class. |
| 00:58:44> 00:58:48: | Consultants and advisers, but I mean we're a very diverse |
| 00:58:48> 00:58:52: | group. There are five senior people in it, three of |
| 00:58:52> 00:58:56: | them are women. I'm the only, they're Englishman in the |
| 00:58:56> 00:58:59: | company, but we're based in London. So it's quite an |
| 00:59:00> 00:59:03: | interesting, a lot of things go into being. |
| 00:59:03> 00:59:09: | And having that totally integrated service and it's not diversity |
| 00:59:09> 00:59:13: | for the sake of it, it's different skill sets. Yes. |
| 00:59:13> 00:59:16: | Yeah, yeah. It leads to in our case, a lot |
| 00:59:17> 00:59:20: | of diversity in in both in terms of of. |
| 00:59:21> 00:59:24: | Male and female ratios, but in terms of other, in |
| 00:59:24> 00:59:28: | terms of skill sets and backgrounds whether something comes through |
| 00:59:28> 00:59:32: | development background or something comes from finance structuring background or |
| 00:59:33> 00:59:36: | an investment background or as I said leasing and actually |
| 00:59:36> 00:59:39: | into into into dealing with with with the occupiers. And |
| 00:59:39> 00:59:42: | all of that is because the design and procurement of |
| 00:59:42> 00:59:45: | buildings to add most value has to be different for |
| 00:59:45> 00:59:49: | the reasons we've been discussing here for reduction of carbon. |
| 00:59:49> 00:59:53: | Etcetera, but also then how you procure the services. I |
| 00:59:53> 00:59:56: | sit on a board with another company, very well known |
| 00:59:56> 01:00:00: | office reads who are flexible office operator and you learn |
| 01:00:00> 01:00:04: | so much from dealing with these these world class professionals |
| 01:00:04> 01:00:07: | and we've learned you know data that you can have |

| 01:00:07> 01:00:11: | in your offices from having a smart building and the |
|--|--|
| 01:00:11> 01:00:14: | efficiency of use it. Yes, it reduces carbon and power |
| 01:00:14> 01:00:17: | usage but actually it also leads to a much better |
| 01:00:17> 01:00:20: | service and it's not rocket science. |
| 01:00:20> 01:00:23: | Yeah, some sectors hospitals have been doing it for 20 |
| 01:00:23> 01:00:27: | years. They know where there's empty hospital bed. Why don't |
| 01:00:27> 01:00:31: | you know where there's empty workstation or free or or |
| 01:00:31> 01:00:33: | or or a free office for meeting space or a |
| 01:00:33> 01:00:37: | free amenity that's available now by the minute. Why don't |
| 01:00:37> 01:00:40: | you have the monitors in in you should have and |
| 01:00:40> 01:00:44: | it leads also to very good outcomes for the environment |
| 01:00:44> 01:00:47: | because you don't air condition and heat and light and |
| 01:00:47> 01:00:49: | office that's not been used. |
| 01:00:50> 01:00:53: | Because you know it's not being used and within reason |
| 01:00:54> 01:00:58: | you can really have an intelligent building management |
| 04.00.50 > 04.04.04. | system. So |
| 01:00:58> 01:01:01: 01:01:02> 01:01:05: | yes the the short answer is the investment managers of |
| 01:01:05> 01:01:08: | the model or people like him without the partners which |
| 01:01:08> 01:01:12: | are founded all of 11 months ago, is you have |
| 01:01:12> 01:01:16: | to be vertically integrated. You have to have those skill sets that provide a good service, the totalization as people |
| 01:01:12> 01:01:18: | call it real estate, but you also need those building. |
| 01:01:10> 01:01:20: | Operate and to make your buildings world class and |
| 01.01.20> 01.01.22. | everything |
| 01:01:22> 01:01:24: | that that means part of the service. |
| 01:01:25> 01:01:28: | OK. Well that's, that's probably kind of where we started |
| 01:01:28> 01:01:30: | and probably a good place to to sort of |
| 01:01:30> 01:01:33: | finish as well because I mean sadly we have run |
| 01:01:33> 01:01:34: | out of time. |
| 01:01:35> 01:01:39: | If I could just thank the panelists, Juliette Duncan, NASA |
| 01:01:39> 01:01:42: | for your for your time and for your for your |
| 01:01:42> 01:01:44: | comments and insights. |
| 01:01:46> 01:01:48: | And I think there is a. |
| 01:01:49> 01:01:52: | Here we go, another slide. Yep, we we absolutely at |
| 01:01:52> 01:01:56: | the URL. I would value your feedback in terms of |
| 01:01:56> 01:01:59: | all this. So there's a there's a poll that you |
| 01:01:59> 01:02:02: | can that you can answer. It would be. It would |
| 01:02:02> 01:02:04: | be great to have that feedback. |
| 01:02:05> 01:02:10: | And I believe there is another slide coming up which |
| 01:02:10> 01:02:13: | is going to show a number of events that will |
| 01:02:13> 01:02:17: | be available through the UI in the future. |
| 01:02:18> 01:02:21: | So with that, I will, I will thank you again |

01:02:22 --> 01:02:25: to the to the panelists and thank you all for

01:02:25 --> 01:02:26: joining us. Goodbye.

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact librarian@uli.org.